

Wave Rose for Scarborough Wave Buoy 2017-18

Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme Wave & Tide Data Analysis Report 6: 2017-2018

July 2018

Page left intentionally blank for double sided printing.

Contents

Disclaimer	i
Abbreviations and Acronyms	iii
Glossary of Terms	iv
Preamble	v
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Study background and scope	1
1.2. Study area and available wave and tide data	1
1.3. Methodology	3
1.4. Summary of new data available	4
2. Analysis of data	5
2.1. Newbiggin Ness Waverider Buoy	5
2.2. North Shields Tide gauge	10
2.3. Tyne Tees WaveNet Buoy	12
2.4. Whitby Waverider Buoy	21
2.5. Whitby NTSLF Tide Gauge	25
2.6. Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge	28
2.7. Scarborough Waverider Buoy	29
2.8. Scarborough Tide Gauge	36
3. Problems encountered and uncertainty in analysis	39
4. Summary of key findings and recommendations	40
5. Conclusions	43

List of Figures

Figure 0.1 Sediment Cells in England and Wales	v
Figure 1.1 Study Area and historical data sets reviewed in the baseline report (Halcrow 2013)	2
Figure 1.2 Updated data sets reviewed in this report	3
Figure 2.1 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period at Newbiggin wave buoy	5
Figure 2.2 Wave roses for Newbiggin WB original deployment in 2010/11 and new data for 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16 and 2016/17	7
Figure 2.3 Plot of water level data availability for 2016/17 at North Shields NTSLF Tide Gauge	11
Figure 2.4 Comparison of recorded and modelled wave heights at Tyne Tees in winter 2009 showing under-prediction of modelled data	12
Figure 2.5 Comparison of recorded wave heights at Tyne Tees to the Cell 1 programme buoys from April 2016 to March 2018	14
Figure 2.6 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period at Tyne Tees wave buoy site	15
Figure 2.7 Wave Rose at Tyne Tees wave buoy site (WMO ID 62293)	15
Figure 2.8 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Zero crossing period at Whitby wave buoy site	21
Figure 2.9 Wave Roses at Whitby wave buoy site	22
Figure 2.10 Water Level data availability at Whitby NTSLF tide gauge site for 1980 to 2016	26
Figure 2.11 Water Level data availability at Whitby NTSLF tide gauge site for March 2017 to March 2018	26
Figure 2.12 Example comparison of water level data from Whitby tide gauges	27
Figure 2.13 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period offshore Scarborough	29
Figure 2.14 Scatter plot Wave Height Vs Period at Scarborough SBC site (April 2003 to April 2004)	30
Figure 2.15 Scatter plot Wave Height Vs Period at Scarborough WB2 site (June 2013 to March 2017)	30
Figure 2.16 Wave Rose at Scarborough DWR site	32
Figure 2.17 Wave Rose at Scarborough SBC site	32

Figure 2.18 Wave Rose at Scarborough WB2 site (June 2013 to March 2017)	33
Figure 2.19 Water Levels at Scarborough TG Recorded Tide Site for 2017-18	35
Figure 4.1 Wave Rose Locations from Newbiggin Ness to Scarborough	40
Figure 4.2 Wave height data for 2017-18 in Cell 1	41

List of Tables

Table 1-1 List of updated datasets available for the 2017 to 2018 report	4
Table 2-1 Storm analysis for Newbiggin Ness (20/05/2010 to 07/06/2011)	7
Table 2-2 Storm analysis for Newbiggin WB (data 21/06/2013 to 31/03/2018)	9
Table 2-3 Predicted tide levels at North Shields	10
Table 2-4 Maximum observed water levels at North Shields	11
Table 2-5 Storm Analysis at Tyne Tees WaveNet Buoy (data to 24th April 2018)	17
Table 2-6 Storm Analysis results for Whitby – Baseline data 20/05/2010 to 25/10/2011	23
Table 2-7 Storm analysis for Whitby WB (data 17/01/2013 to 31/03/2018)	23
Table 2-8 Predicted tide levels at Whitby	25
Table 2-9 Maximum observed water levels at Whitby NTSLF gauge	25
Table 2-10 Standard tidal levels at Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge (CCO, 2017)	27
Table 2-11 Storm analysis for Scarborough DWR wave buoy – baseline info	34
Table 2-12 Storm analysis for Scarborough WB (data 17/01/2013 to 31/03/2018)	34
Table 2-13 Standard tidal levels at Scarborough	36
Table 2-14 Annual maxima data from Scarborough Tide gauge (source CCO, 2017)	37

Appendices

Appendix A	Detailed location of wave buoys
Appendix B	Supporting graphs: Newbiggin Ness wave buoy
Appendix C	Supporting graphs: Whitby wave buoy
Appendix D	Supporting graphs: Scarborough wave buoy
Appendix E	CCO Annual reports for Scarborough and Whitby tide gauges and Newbiggin, Whitby and Scarborough wave buoys

Disclaimer

Royal HaskoningDHV has prepared this report in accordance with the instructions of our client Scarborough Borough Council (SBC) for the client's sole and specific use. Any other persons who use any information contained herein do so at their own risk. Royal HaskoningDHV has used reasonable skill, care and diligence in the interpretation of data provided to them and accepts no responsibility for the content, quality or accuracy of any Third Party reports, monitoring data or further information provided either to them by SBC, or via SBC from a Third Party source, for analysis under this term contract.

Data and reports collected as part of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme are available to download via the North East Coastal Observatory via the webpage: www.northeastcoastalobservatory.org.uk.

The North East Coastal Observatory does not "license" the use of images or data or sign license agreements. The North East Coastal Observatory generally has no objection to the reproduction and use of these materials (aerial photography, wave data, beach surveys, bathymetric surveys, reports), subject to the following conditions:

1. North East Coastal Observatory material may not be used to state or imply the endorsement by North East Coastal Observatory or by any North East Coastal Observatory employee of a commercial product, service, or activity, or used in any manner that might mislead.
2. North East Coastal Observatory should be acknowledged as the source of the material in any use of images and data accessed through this website, please state "Image/Data courtesy of North East Coastal Observatory". We recommend that the caption for any image and data published includes our website, so that others can locate or obtain copies when needed. We always appreciate notification of beneficial uses of images and data within your applications. This will help us continue to maintain these freely available services. Send e-mail to Robin.Siddle@scarborough.gov.uk
3. It is unlawful to falsely claim copyright or other rights in North East Coastal Observatory material.
4. North East Coastal Observatory shall in no way be liable for any costs, expenses, claims, or demands arising out of the use of North East Coastal Observatory material by a recipient or a recipient's distributees.
5. North East Coastal Observatory does not indemnify nor hold harmless users of North East Coastal Observatory material, nor release such users from copyright infringement, nor grant exclusive use rights with respect to North East Coastal Observatory material.
6. North East Coastal Observatory material is not protected by copyright unless noted (in associated metadata). If copyrighted, permission should be obtained from the copyright owner prior to use. If not copyrighted, North East Coastal Observatory material may be reproduced and distributed without further permission from North East Coastal Observatory.

This study uses sea level monitoring data for Whitby and North Shields from the National Tide and Sea Level Facility, provided by the British Oceanographic Data Centre and funded by the Environment Agency.

Some of the wave data presented and analysed in this report has been obtained from the Cefas WaveNet site (<http://www.cefas.defra.gov.uk/our-science/observing-and-modelling/monitoring-programmes/wavenet.aspx>) and are subject to the Cefas data usage license as described on the next page.

Cefas Disclaimer:

Contains information provided by Cefas and funded by Environment Agency, licensed under the Cefas WaveNet Non-Commercial Licence v1.0.

The data are provided "as is" and in no event shall Cefas be liable for any damages, including, without limitation, any disruption, damage and/or loss to your data or computer system that may occur while using this site or data. Cefas makes no warranty, express or implied, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose; nor assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed; nor represents that its use would not infringe the rights of any third party.

The material featured on this website is subject to Crown copyright protection unless otherwise indicated. The material must be acknowledged as Crown copyright in this manner:
© Crown copyright, 2016

You may use and re-use Crown copyright information featured on this website (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the **Open Government Licence** (see <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/>).

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Acronym / Abbreviation	Definition
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
CD	Chart Datum
DGM	Digital Ground Model
EA	Environment Agency
HAT	Highest Astronomical Tide
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
MHWN	Mean High Water Neap
MHWS	Mean High Water Spring
MLWN	Mean Low Water Neap
MLWS	Mean Low Water Spring
NOC	National Oceanography Centre
NTSLF	National Tide and Sea Level Facility
m	metres
OD	Ordnance Datum
PSMSL	Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level
WB	Wave Buoy
WMO	World Meteorological Organisation

Glossary of Terms

Term	Definition
Beach nourishment	Artificial process of replenishing a beach with material from another source.
Berm crest	Ridge of sand or gravel deposited by wave action on the shore just above the normal high water mark.
Breaker zone	Area in the sea where the waves break.
Coastal squeeze	The reduction in habitat area which can arise if the natural landward migration of a habitat under sea level rise is prevented by the fixing of the high water mark, e.g. a sea wall.
Downdrift	Direction of alongshore movement of beach materials.
Ebb-tide	The falling tide, part of the tidal cycle between high water and the next low water.
Fetch	Length of water over which a given wind has blown that determines the size of the waves produced.
Flood-tide	Rising tide, part of the tidal cycle between low water and the next high water.
Foreshore	Zone between the high water and low water marks, also known as the intertidal zone.
Geomorphology	The branch of physical geography/geology which deals with the form of the Earth, the general configuration of its surface, the distribution of the land, water, etc.
Groyne	Shore protection structure built perpendicular to the shore; designed to trap sediment.
Mean High Water (MHW)	The average of all high waters observed over a sufficiently long period.
Mean Low Water (MLW)	The average of all low waters observed over a sufficiently long period.
Mean Sea Level (MSL)	Average height of the sea surface over a 19-year period.
Offshore zone	Extends from the low water mark to a water depth of about 15 m and is permanently covered with water.
Storm surge	A rise in the sea surface on an open coast, resulting from a storm.
Swell	Waves that have travelled out of the area in which they were generated.
Tidal prism	The volume of water within the estuary between the level of high and low tide, typically taken for mean spring tides.
Tide	Periodic rising and falling of large bodies of water resulting from the gravitational attraction of the moon and sun acting on the rotating earth.
Topography	Configuration of a surface including its relief and the position of its natural and man-made features.
Transgression	The landward movement of the shoreline in response to a rise in relative sea level.
Updrift	Direction opposite to the predominant movement of longshore transport.
Wave direction	Direction from which a wave approaches.
Wave refraction	Process by which the direction of approach of a wave changes as it moves into shallow water.

Preamble

The Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme covers approximately 300km of the north east coastline, from the Scottish Border (just south of St. Abb's Head) to Flamborough Head in East Yorkshire. This coastline is often referred to as 'Coastal Sediment Cell 1' in England and Wales (Figure 0.1). Within this frontage the coastal landforms vary considerably, comprising low-lying tidal flats with fringing salt marshes, hard rock cliffs that are mantled with glacial sediment to varying thicknesses, softer rock cliffs and extensive landslide complexes.




Figure 0.1 Sediment Cells in England and Wales

The work commenced with a three-year monitoring programme in September 2008 that was managed by Scarborough Borough Council on behalf of the North East Coastal Group. This initial phase has been followed by a five-year programme of work, which started in October 2011. The work is funded by the Environment Agency, working in partnership with the following organisations:



The main elements of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme involve:

- beach profile surveys
- topographic surveys
- cliff top recession surveys
- real-time wave data collection
- bathymetric and sea bed characterisation surveys
- aerial photography
- walk-over surveys

The beach profile surveys, topographic surveys and cliff top recession surveys are undertaken as a 'Full Measures' survey in autumn/early winter every year. Some of these surveys are then repeated the following spring as part of a 'Partial Measures' survey.

Each year, an Analytical Report is produced for each individual authority, providing a detailed analysis and interpretation of the 'Full Measures' surveys. This is followed by a brief Update Report for each individual authority, providing ongoing findings from the 'Partial Measures' surveys.

In addition, separate reports are produced for other elements of the programme as and when specific components are undertaken, such as wave data collection, bathymetric and sea bed sediment data collection, aerial photography, and walk-over visual inspections.

The present report is **Wave & Tide Data Analysis Report 6**. This provides an update to the analysis presented in the baseline wave and tide data report and compares the wave data collected between March 2017 and March 2018, to the baseline analysis in Wave & Tide Data Analysis Report 1 published in 2013 and updates in Wave & Tide Data Analysis Reports 2 to 5.

1. Introduction

1.1. Study background and scope

Wave data collection is an integral part of the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring programme. Under the present programme data collection commenced in June 2010 when two Waverider buoys were deployed at Whitby and Newbiggin Ness in May 2010 by Cefas. These two buoys were decommissioned in June and November 2011 respectively.

Under the current phase of the programme, three new Waverider buoys have been deployed. These are located offshore from Scarborough, Whitby and Newbiggin Ness. The data from these new buoys has been disseminated in near real time on the Cell 1 Regional Coastal Monitoring programme and Channel Coast Observatory websites:

- www.northeastcoastalobservatory.org.uk
- <http://www.channelcoast.org/>
- <http://www.coastalmonitoring.org/>

The data can also be downloaded from the Cefas website:

- <http://www.cefas.defra.gov.uk/our-science/observing-and-modelling/monitoring-programmes/wavenet.aspx>.

Additionally, under the programme the existing tide gauge at Scarborough has been serviced and linked up to record concurrent water level data and a new tide gauge was deployed at Whitby.

The present report is **Wave & Tide Data Analysis Report 6** and provides an analysis of the wave and tide data collected during 2017-2018 as part of the programme. The report forms an update to and supersedes the baseline assessment in **Wave & Tide Data Analysis Report 1 (Halcrow, 2013)**, and the updates **Wave & Tide Data Analysis Reports 2 (Halcrow, 2014)**, **3 (CH2M, 2015)**, **4 (CH2M, 2016)**, and **5 (Royal HaskoningDHV, 2017)**. It also takes into consideration other freely available data collected in the region, in particular the Cefas WaveNet Tyne Tees offshore wave buoy; tide gauge data from Whitby and Scarborough collected under the programme; tide gauge data from North Shields and Whitby collected by NTSLF and ground level monitoring data from the University of Nottingham. The purpose of the report is to update and extend the analysis undertaken in the previous reports and inform the assessment and interpretation of other data collected under the programme such as the beach, cliff and coastal defence monitoring.


1.2. Study area and available wave and tide data

The Cell 1 study area extends along the northeast coast of England, from the Scottish border through to Flamborough Head. The baseline report, **Halcrow (2013)** considered the data at each location shown in Figure 1.1.

In accordance with the recommendations in the baseline report this update report concentrates on the following locations, progressing from North to South along the coastline:

- Newbiggin wave buoy (Cell 1 programme),
- South Shields NTSLF Class A Tide gauge (NOC, formerly POL),
- Tyne Tees wave buoy (Cefas / WaveNet),
- Whitby wave buoy (Cell 1 programme),
- Whitby NTSLF Class A Tide gauge (NOC, formerly POL),
- Whitby Harbour tide gauge (Cell 1 programme),
- Scarborough wave buoy (Cell 1 programme),
- Scarborough tide gauge (Cell 1 programme).

These locations are shown in Figure 1.2 and more detailed location maps are shown in Appendix A.



Note: green text denotes the wave buoys that were installed by Cefas within the Cell 1 programme.

Figure 1.1 Study Area and historical data sets reviewed in the baseline report (Halcrow 2013)




Figure 1.2 Updated data sets reviewed in this report

1.3. Methodology

The wave data received from the deployments at Newbiggin Ness, Whitby and Scarborough were imported into the Shoreline And Nearshore Data System (SANDS) database set up for the Cell 1 Regional Monitoring project for analysis and comparison with other datasets from the adjacent coastline. The data sets were reviewed in SANDS to check for any errors, inconsistencies or omissions.

Detailed graphs of the records of significant wave height, maximum wave height, mean and peak period, peak direction and water temperature for the Newbiggin Ness, Whitby and Scarborough wave buoy locations can be found in Appendices B, C and D respectively. These graphs were received from the Channel Coast Observatory (CCO) with the monthly data. Detailed plots of the tide gauge data and the 2017 report on analysis of the Scarborough and Whitby tide gauge data by the CCO are provided in Appendix E.

It was identified in the baseline report that it was important to consider the Cefas WaveNet Tyne Tees offshore wave buoy as this is the longest consistent record offshore of the project

area (deployed in December 2006). Data was therefore downloaded from the Cefas website <http://cefasmapping.defra.gov.uk/Map> and loaded into SANDS for comparison.

The following wave analyses were carried out:

- Wave roses were produced from the wave height and direction data at each location;
- Scatter tables of peak period and wave height were generated at each location; and
- Storm analyses were undertaken at each location.

The data from March 2017 to March 2018 were compared to the previous data. Note that the analysis has included data available up to the end of March 2018 in order to cover the full winter 2017 to 2018 period.

The water level monitoring data from the Scarborough and Whitby tide gauges managed by Fugro-Emu for Scarborough BC were also added into SANDS for analysis. The tide gauge deployed at Whitby under the programme originally had operational problems and this is now the third time it has been included in the annual report. Data from the Class A national tide gauges maintained by NTSLF at Whitby and North Shields were also downloaded and added to SANDS for inclusion in the analysis as was also done for the previous reports (CH2M, 2015 and 2016, and Royal HaskoningDHV 2017).

1.4. Summary of new data available

The new data sets considered in this report for comparison to the baseline data are listed in Table 1-1 below.

Table 1-1 List of updated datasets available for the 2017 to 2018 report

Name of Location	Type of Data	Approx. Water depth (m)	Start Time	End Time
Newbiggin Ness WB	Wave Data	23m	01/04/2015 (deployed 21/06/2013)	ongoing
North Shields NTSLF Tide Record	Tidal Levels	N/A	01/03/2015 (deployed 24/01/1946)	ongoing
Tyne Tees WaveNet Site (WMO ID 62293)	Wave Data	65m	01/04/2015 (deployed 07/12/2006)	ongoing
Whitby WB	Wave Data	17m	01/04/2015 (deployed 17/01/2013)	ongoing
Whitby Harbour TG	Tidal Levels	N/A	01/04/2015 (deployed 08/05/2013)	ongoing
Whitby NTSLF Tide Record	Tidal Levels	N/A	01/04/2015 (deployed 01/01/1991)	ongoing
Scarborough WB2*	Wave Data	19m and 30m	01/04/2015 (deployed 17/01/2013)	ongoing
Scarborough TG	Tidal Levels	N/A	01/01/2015 (deployed 28/04/2003)	ongoing

* Note that the location of the Scarborough WB was changed in June 2013. Data from the latter, further offshore location are designated as Scarborough WB2 in this report

2. Analysis of data

This section considers the data collected under the Cell 1 monitoring programme (i.e. the three wave buoys deployed by Fugro-EMU at Newbiggin Ness, Whitby and Scarborough respectively). It also reviews the longer term record for the Tyne Tees Cefas buoy and tide gauge data available from North Shields, Whitby and Scarborough.

2.1. Newbiggin Ness Waverider Buoy

The wave data in the baseline report for Newbiggin Ness was collected by the Cefas wave buoy deployed under the Cell 1 monitoring programme and published on the Cefas website. The baseline data set was just over 1 year and runs from 20/05/2010 to 07/06/2011.

Under the present phase of the programme the Newbiggin Ness wave buoy was deployed by Fugro-Emu on 21/06/2013 in the same location as in 2010-11.

Detailed monthly plots of the data collected during 2017-2018 are presented in Appendix B.

The new data set for 2017-2018 has been compared to the baseline data using scatter plots and tables produced in SANDS using the time series data analysis facilities.

2.1.1. Wave Height vs Peak Period

The Newbiggin wave height and zero crossing period data has been plotted on a scatter plot in Figure 2.1. Different symbols have been used to distinguish the baseline data from 2010/11 and different years of the current deployment. As the data sets are quite short no definitive conclusions can be drawn yet. The wave height / period relationships in Figure 2.1 appear similar. The largest measured wave heights to date were in the 2016/17 data, where wave heights of over 7m were recorded. The wave heights in the latest data set reach a maximum of 6.5m. The longest period waves occurred in December 2016 to January 2017, with only those recorded in 2014/15 being longer.




Figure 2.1 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period at Newbiggin wave buoy

2.1.2. Wave Rose

Wave roses showing wave height distribution by direction are shown in





Figure 2.2. The original deployment in 2010/11 (upper left diagram) shows that the waves predominantly approach the Newbiggin Ness wave buoy from the Northeast (30 to 60 degrees). The data from the current deployment has been plotted in yearly April to March blocks, all of which show the main direction to be the north east, but also a significant proportion of waves from the southeast. It could be that 2010/11 had fewer storms from the south east than usual, or there could be an issue with the 2010/11 data set. However, a much longer data set of at least ten years would be required to assess how representative the six wave roses are and the annual variability in storms.

Comparing the baseline wave roses in Figure 2.2 to the other locations analysed (see Figure 4.1) indicates that the Newbiggin Ness site is relatively sheltered from waves from the north.






Figure 2.2 Wave roses for Newbiggin WB original deployment in 2010/11 and new data for 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17 and 2017/18

2.1.3. Storm Analysis

The baseline report storm analysis of the Newbiggin Ness wave dataset, undertaken using a wave height threshold of 3m and a storm separation threshold of 120 hours, is shown in Table 2-1. The 3m threshold was chosen in order to identify the largest 5 to 10 storms each year. This analysis used the full data range available, from 20/05/2010 to 07/06/2011. The storms recorded in the dataset arrive from the northeast to east directions (47 to 105 degrees). The storm with the largest wave height at peak in the baseline report data set, highlighted in bold, occurred on 8th to 10th November 2010.

Table 2-1 Storm analysis for Newbiggin Ness (20/05/2010 to 07/06/2011)

General Storm Information						At Peak						
Start Time	End Time	Dur (Hrs)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No of Events (30 min dataset)	Mean Dir Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s) ¹	Total energy (KJ/m/s)
19/06/2010 07:00	20/06/2010 09:00	26.0	19/06/10 23:00	47	43	43	4.0	11.8	7.7	49	4.32 E+3	3.78 E+6
06/09/2010 18:30	07/09/2010 20:30	26.0	07/09/10 15:30	99	53	352	4.0	11.1	7.5	89	3.86 E+3	4.05 E+6
17/09/2010 10:00	17/09/2010 15:30	5.5	17/09/10 14:30	44	7	46	3.1	13.3	7.7	53	3.37 E+3	5.42 E+5
24/09/2010 03:00	25/09/2010 23:30	44.5	25/09/10 10:00	46	82	45	3.6	11.8	7.7	51	3.54 E+3	6.29 E+6
08/11/2010 12:30	10/11/2010 00:30	36.0	08/11/10 22:00	84	72	6	5.4	28.6	8.5	56	4.66 E+4	9.23 E+6
28/11/2010 10:30	02/12/2010 14:00	99.5	29/11/10 20:00	78	105	13	4.3	11.8	6.9	65	5.05 E+3	8.24 E+6
12/02/2011 01:30	12/02/2011 12:00	10.5	12/02/11 12:00	98	4	360	3.2	9.1	7.1	77	1.67 E+3	1.51 E+5
19/02/2011 06:00	19/02/2011 09:30	3.5	19/02/11 09:30	108	3	353	3.2	8.3	5.8	91	1.36 E+3	9.90 E+4

Notes: ¹ The time of the storm peak is based on peak wave energy, which is calculated in SANDS using $E = \rho \cdot g \cdot H_s^2 \cdot L_o / 8$, with the offshore wave length $L_o = g \cdot T_p^2 / 2 \cdot \pi$

The results from storms analysis of the full set of new data is shown in Table 2-2 below. To aid interpretation of the results, alternate years have been shaded **and the storm with the largest peak wave height each year has been highlighted in bold**. The annual storm with the highest wave energy at peak has also been **highlighted in bold red text** as this depends on wave period as well as wave height and so is not always the same as the largest wave height. The longest storm in 2013 ran from 10th to 14th October and had peak wave height of 4.2m. There was one storm from the southeast in the record, occurring on 1st January 2014. It is notable that the storm that occurred on 5th / 6th December 2013, causing widespread

damage to beaches and coastal defences on the east coast, had a peak wave height of 3.2m on the afternoon of 6th December at Newbiggin and while it had highest wave energy at peak and an unusually long wave period, it did not have the largest peak wave height.

There were six storms above the 3m threshold used at Newbiggin in 2015, which is similar to other years. The storm that started on 30th December had the highest significant wave height of 5.5m, with the peak on the 3rd January 2016. This storm also had the highest peak and total wave energy recorded at Newbiggin.

In 2016 there were only four storms above the 3m threshold, which is relatively low compared to other years. The highest significant wave height of 5.3m occurred on 22nd November 2016. The highest peak and total energy were recorded 6th November 2016.

In 2017 there were eight storms recorded above the 3m threshold, with four of them occurring in the first quarter of the year. The highest significant wave height of 4.3m was recorded on the 13th January 2017, this storm also had the highest peak energy recorded.

In 2018 three storms have been recorded above the 3m threshold in the first quarter of the year. The highest significant wave height of 6.4m was recorded on the 1st March 2018. The highest peak and total energy were recorded on the 16th March 2018. The peak energy recorded during this event is the second highest on record, only exceeded by the 8th November 2010 event, and the total energy recorded is the highest on record.

Note that the analysis for the other Cell 1 wave buoys use higher thresholds of 4m due to their more exposed locations.

Table 2-2 Storm analysis for Newbiggin WB (data 21/06/2013 to 31/03/2018)

General Storm Information							At Peak					
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No. Events	Mean Dir Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak KJ/m/s	Total Energy (KJ/m)
06/09/2013 18:30:00	06/09/2013 22:30:00	4.0	06/09/2013 22:30:00	47	8	44.9	3.1	9.1	5.9	48	1.5 E+3	3.2 E+5
10/10/2013 00:30:00	14/10/2013 08:00:00	103.5	10/10/2013 18:30:00	47	65	43.7	4.2	11.8	7.0	46	4.7 E+3	5.0 E+6
30/11/2013 01:00:00	30/11/2013 05:00:00	4.0	30/11/2013 05:00:00	38	5	54.9	3.1	11.1	7.4	37	2.4 E+3	3.1 E+5
06/12/2013 01:30:00	06/12/2013 21:30:00	20.0	06/12/2013 16:30:00	47	27	44.4	3.2	16.7	8.5	53	5.7 E+3	2.5 E+6
01/01/2014 16:30:00	01/01/2014 17:30:00	1.0	01/01/2014 17:30:00	142	2	329.2	3.1	8.3	5.8	118	1.3 E+3	6.1 E+4
19/01/2014 05:30:00	20/01/2014 10:30:00	29.0	19/01/2014 20:00:00	69	48	21.3	4.2	11.8	8.7	70	4.9 E+3	3.9 E+6
29/01/2014 04:00:00	05/02/2014 21:30:00	185.5	05/02/2014 18:30:00	100	63	350.2	3.8	10.0	6.7	114	2.8 E+3	3.7 E+6
12/02/2014 16:00:00	14/02/2014 19:30:00	51.5	12/02/2014 18:00:00	126	7	329.3	3.4	9.1	5.9	118	1.9 E+3	2.6 E+5
26/03/2014 23:00:00	28/03/2014 01:00:00	26.0	27/03/2014 00:00:00	73	12	20.1	3.4	11.1	6.7	68	2.9 E+3	7.6 E+5
07/10/2014 17:00:00	07/10/2014 21:00:00	4.0	07/10/2014 18:00:00	67	6	23.6	3.2	13.3	9.8	66	3.5 E+3	5.4 E+5
13/10/2014 21:30:00	14/10/2014 03:00:00	5.5	14/10/2014 00:00:00	78	9	16.5	3.3	8.3	6.1	76	1.4 E+3	3.2 E+5
13/11/2014 19:00:00	17/11/2014 13:30:00	90.5	17/11/2014 08:00:00	70	28	20.8	3.6	11.1	6.8	65	3.2 E+3	1.8 E+6
31/01/2015 22:00:00	01/02/2015 11:30:00	13.5	01/02/2015 00:00:00	36	26	53.7	3.4	11.8	6.7	41	3.2 E+3	1.7 E+6
21/03/2015 14:30:00	21/03/2015 16:00:00	1.5	21/03/2015 16:00:00	45	3	47.5	3.2	11.1	7.1	44	2.4 E+3	1.8 E+5
03/05/2015 08:30	03/05/2015 16:00	7.5	03/05/15 14:30	111	13	342.9	3.2	9.1	6.6	107	1.7 E+3	4.9 E+5
07/10/2015 06:30	07/10/2015 10:00	3.5	07/10/15 06:30	66	3	25.4	3.1	10.5	8.0	63	2.0 E+3	1.6 E+5
21/11/2015 02:30	21/11/2015 11:00	8.5	21/11/15 06:00	39	18	51.3	4.6	11.1	7.1	38	5.1 E+3	1.8 E+6
30/12/2015 11:30	07/01/2016 16:30	197.0	03/01/16 10:30	83	255	8.9	5.5	11.8	8.0	82	8.2 E+3	2.8 E+7
16/01/2016 00:00	16/01/2016 04:00	4.0	16/01/16 00:00	51	3	44.5	3.1	12.5	7.4	45	2.9 E+3	2.2 E+5
14/10/2016 06:30	16/10/2016 05:30	47.0	15/10/16 22:30	66	30	25.55	3.3	11.8	8.0	66	3.0 E+3	2.0 E+6
05/11/2016 03:30	07/11/2016 06:00	50.5	06/11/16 18:30	62	56	28.43	4.1	13.3	7.5	72	5.9 E+3	5.4 E+6
21/11/2016 18:30	22/11/2016 11:30	17.0	22/11/16 00:00	62	30	28.44	5.3	10.0	7.4	68	5.5 E+3	2.4 E+6
02/01/2017 05:30	04/01/2017 21:30	64.0	04/01/17 15:00	43	26	47.69	3.7	11.8	7.5	44	3.7 E+3	2.1 E+6
13/01/2017 11:00	13/01/2017 21:00	10.0	13/01/17 17:30	46	21	44.71	4.3	15.4	9.3	55	8.7 E+3	2.7 E+6
07/02/2017 21:00	13/02/2017 05:30	128.5	12/02/17 16:30	74	95	17.56	4.2	10.0	6.9	84	3.5 E+3	6.4 E+6
23/02/2017 14:30	23/02/2017 17:00	2.5	23/02/17 14:30	43	6	44.69	3.2	13.3	5.8	56	3.5 E+3	4.1 E+5
08/05/2017 00:30	08/05/2017 02:30	2.0	08/05/17 01:30	40	5	49.40	3.4	10.0	6.7	42	2.2 E+3	2.9 E+5
29/06/2017 02:00	29/06/2017 10:00	8.0	29/06/17 09:00	64	9	27.27	3.2	9.1	6.5	75	1.6 E+3	3.2 E+5
28/11/2017 20:30	01/12/2017 01:00	52.5	30/11/17 19:30	41	21	49.97	3.4	10.5	6.6	39	2.5 E+3	1.2 E+6
08/12/2017 15:00	09/12/2017 09:00	18.0	08/12/17 17:00	52	17	39.26	3.5	15.4	8.5	55	5.6 E+3	1.9 E+6
06/01/2018 10:30	06/01/2018 18:00	7.5	06/01/18 13:30	43	6	49.03	3.4	11.8	6.7	45	3.2 E+3	3.7 E+5
27/02/2018 20:00	06/03/2018 12:00	160.0	01/03/18 15:30	81	175	12.45	6.4	11.8	8.0	86	1.1 E+4	2.4 E+7
15/03/2018 02:30	19/03/2018 07:00	100.5	16/03/18 18:00	80	195	11.33	6.3	12.5	8.5	62	1.2 E+4	3.1 E+7

2.2. North Shields Tide gauge

The tide gauge at North Shields is operated continuously by the National Tide and Sea Level Facility (NTSLF) on behalf of the Environment Agency as part of the main UK tide gauge network. Information on this tide gauge installation is available on the NTSLF website: http://www.ntsfl.org/tgi/portinfo?port=North_Shields including the site history reproduced below. The Chart Datum at North Shields is 2.6m below Ordnance Datum (<http://www.ntsfl.org/tides/datum>). Due to its location in the mouth of the estuary the recorded water levels can be influenced by high freshwater flows in the river Tyne.

Site history:

- 1946 – Earliest data available
- 1974 – A Munro gauge was installed over one of the stilling wells and an Ott digital gauge over the other
- 1984 – The Ott digital gauge was removed and a Wellhead unit was installed
- 1984 – The DATARING system was installed with potentiometers attached to the Munro gauge and the Wellhead unit
- 1993 – All equipment removed while a new tide gauge building was built
- 1993 – New building completed and all equipment reinstated
- 1998 – Wind speed and direction instruments installed
- 1998 – Both stilling wells blocked - the POL diving team cleared the blockage
- 2000 – POL data logger installed.

Table 2-3 Predicted tide levels at North Shields

Tidal State	Level (m Chart Datum)	Level (m Ordnance Datum)
HAT	5.73	3.13
LAT	0.00	-2.60
MHWS	5.12	2.52
MHWN	4.08	1.48
MLWN	1.90	-0.70
MLWS	0.73	-1.87
Highest predicted 2014	5.68	3.08
Lowest predicted 2014	0.08	-2.52
Highest predicted 2015	5.73	3.13
Lowest predicted 2015	0.06	-2.54
Highest predicted 2016	5.68	3.08
Lowest predicted 2016	0.10	-2.50
Highest predicted 2017	5.52	2.92
Lowest predicted 2017	0.25	-2.35
Highest predicted 2018	5.56	2.96
Lowest predicted 2018	0.1	-2.50
Highest predicted 2019	5.68	3.08
Lowest predicted 2019	0.03	-2.57

Note: Based on data from http://www.ntsfl.org/tgi/portinfo?port=North_Shields

As described in the 2013-14 report, data is available on the internet in real time (http://www.ntsfl.org/data/realtime?port=North_Shields) and quality controlled data can be downloaded from the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) website.

The BODC data for January 2017 to March 2018 was downloaded from the BODC website. The data were adjusted from Chart Datum to Ordnance Datum. A detailed plot of the data for 2017/18 is shown in Figure 2.3, there were significant gaps in the data between 25th April to 11th May 2017 and between 17th December 2017 and 4th March 2018.

Although there is occasional data available from 1946, there are many large gaps in the record up until 1964, but the overall record appears very consistent. The spike in the high water levels shown near the end of the plot is the storm surge level of 3.98 mOD at 16:15 on

the 5th December 2013. This shows how exceptional the conditions were, with the previous maximum recorded water level of 3.56m occurring at 17:00 on 31st January 1953 (note that prior to 1990 only hourly data are available and so the actual maximum water level in the 1953 storm event may have been higher than the recorded 3.56 mOD). Table 2-4 lists the 15 maximum observed water levels at North Shields until the year 2015.




Figure 2.3 Plot of water level data availability for 2016-18 at North Shields NTSLF Tide Gauge
 Note: For earlier years please refer to previous reports.

Table 2-4 Maximum observed water levels at North Shields*

Date	Level (mOD)
05/12/2013 16:15	3.98
31/01/1953 17:00	3.56
12/01/2005 16:45	3.51
29/09/1969 05:00	3.50
27/11/2011 16:30	3.45
09/02/1997 16:30	3.38
27/02/1990 17:00	3.37
01/02/1983 18:00	3.37
04/01/2014 17:15	3.32
01/02/1995 16:00	3.31
26/02/1990 16:00	3.30
11/01/1993 05:00	3.28
25/10/1980 04:00	3.27
12/01/2009 16:15	3.27
25/11/2007 03:00	3.26

* This data is no longer available. The last available report is dated 2015.

2.3. Tyne Tees WaveNet Buoy

The full data set was re-downloaded from the Cefas website in order to obtain as much post-recovery data as available. However, the data from 3rd February to 23rd April 2018 is the telemetry data as the checked post-recovery data was not yet available for this period at the time of writing. There were also two significant gaps in the post-processed data (21/01/2008 to 08/04/2009 and 19/02/2014 to 12/05/2014) that were filled by telemetry data. There are no significant gaps in the 2017.

This buoy was deployed by Cefas in 2006 and continues to operate as part of the National Network managed by Cefas for the Environment Agency alongside the UK strategic tide gauge network. The wave buoy is located 35km offshore in around 65m water depth and therefore potentially provides a suitable baseline of offshore data as the record extends from before the Cell 1 strategic programme commenced in 2008.

The 2013-14 report included a comparison of the recorded waves at Newbiggin and Whitby under the Cell 1 programme to the WaveNet buoy Tyne Tees buoy and also modelled data from the Met Office hindcast model. This found that there are generally similarities between the data sets but also some significant differences, which mainly relate to differences in fetch lengths and sheltering by the coast at the different locations.

It was noted in the 2013-14 report that the hindcast wave data for the nearest Met Office hindcast location (2084) to the Tyne Tees buoy, which at the time of the analysis was available from 1980 to 2012, showed a very similar temporal variation to the measured data at Tyne Tees, but the highest significant wave height on most storms was significantly under-estimated, with peak wave heights often greater than 0.5m below the measured value. This indicated that model calibration was poor for resolving peak wave heights during storms in this location. It was therefore recommended that caution should be used when using these data and that consideration be given to adjusting or calibrating the Met Office hindcast offshore data if it is to be used to define boundary conditions for coastal modelling studies in Cell 1. No further modelled data has been obtained for this update report, but the plot in Figure 2.4 showing a comparison of predicted and measured storms in November and December 2009 has been included from the previous report to demonstrate the issue.




Figure 2.4 Comparison of recorded and modelled wave heights at Tyne Tees in winter 2009 showing under-prediction of modelled data

The data record that was reviewed at the Tyne Tees wave buoy for the baseline report ran from December 2006 to September 2012. This has been updated for this report with data to April 2018. The scatter table and wave rose produced for the buoy now uses eleven full years of wave data. Storm and extremes analyses have also been updated and are shown in the sub-sections below.

A comparison of wave heights at the Tyne/ Tees buoy to the data recorded at the Cell 1 programme buoys at Newbiggin, Whitby and Scarborough for 2017-18 is shown in Figure 2.5 below. This shows that generally the four wave buoys record similar storms. The highest storm wave heights are often observed at Tyne /Tees but this is not always the case; sometimes wave heights are larger at Scarborough. The largest storm in the period April 2017 to March 2018 was in February 2018. However, there were also significant storms in March 2018.







Figure 2.5 Comparison of recorded wave heights at Tyne Tees to the Cell 1 programme buoys from April 2017 to March 2018

2.3.1. Wave height vs Wave Period

The distribution of the wave height, peak and zero crossing period for the Tyne Tees wave data record is shown as a scatter plot in Figure 2.6. The plot shows some long period swell waves with heights of 0.5 to 1.5m and periods over 20s, and that the maximum storm wave heights of about 7m are associated with peak periods of 8 to 9s or zero crossing periods of 8 to 10s.

Note that the horizontal banding shown in the T_p values appears to be due to the post-processing undertaken by Cefas on the post recovery data as the plot in the previous report, which used the telemetry data, showed vertical rather than horizontal banding.




Figure 2.6 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period at Tyne Tees wave buoy site

2.3.2. Wave Rose and Wave Direction Scatter Tables

The wave rose for Tyne Tees in Figure 2.7 has been updated to include eleven full years of wave data. The plot shows that the majority of the waves come from the north to north-northeast (0-30 degrees). There is a small secondary peak from the south east (120-150 degrees). Due to the offshore location of this buoy there are also small peaks from the southwest and northwest that would represent calm periods along most of the Cell 1 coast.




Figure 2.7 Wave Rose at Tyne Tees wave buoy site (WMO ID 62293)

2.3.3. Storm Analysis

A SANDS storm analysis of the Tyne Tees data set was undertaken using a wave height threshold of 4m and a storm separation threshold of 120 hours. This allows extraction of typically between three and ten of the biggest storms each year. The period of data examined ran from 07/12/2006 to 24/04/2018. As noted above, the analyses reported in previous reports have been updated by repeating the storms analysis with the quality controlled post-recovery data.

The storm analysis results are presented in Table 2-5 below. To aid interpretation of the results in Table 3.4, alternate years have been shaded and the storm with the largest significant wave height each year has been highlighted in bold. The annual storm with the highest wave energy at peak has also been highlighted in bold red text as this depends on wave period as well as wave height and so is not always the same as the largest wave height, e.g. in 2010 to 2015.

The storms mostly arrive from the north to northeast direction, 0 to 40 degrees, which has the longest fetch, but there are also a significant number of storms from other directions, particularly 80 to 140 degrees. The storm with largest Hs at peak (Hs = 7.9m) was associated with the third longest duration storm (180 hours) in March 2008.

Comparing the annual storm records, it can be seen that 2010 had the most storms (13). 2010 was also unusual in that the largest storm had an incident direction of 73 degrees at peak, whereas in most other years direction at peak of the largest storm was from the north to northeast sector (0 to 45 degrees). The longest duration storm (226hrs) was in 2012 and this also had an unusual direction at peak of 96 degrees. From these results we might expect that the alongshore drift on the Cell 1 beaches in 2010 and 2012 to have been atypical with unusual changes from the storm conditions. This was indeed noted in several of the 2010 Full Measures reports. For example, the Hartlepool report noted unusual beach lowering along North Sands, and there was significant beach lowering at a number of locations at Sunderland.

The previously noted year with the fewest storms was 2011. This was reflected by accretion recorded in a number of the annual Full Measures reports. For example recovery of the beaches at North Sands and Middleton beaches in Hartlepool, and recovery of beaches was noted at Sunderland.

The winter of 2012 to 2013 suffered with larger storms than usual, with the third largest peak wave height recorded on 23rd March 2013. The longest duration storm in the record was from 5th to 15th December 2012. The storm surge that damaged many defences and received significant media attention on 5th and 6th December 2013 does not appear to have had exceptional wave conditions at the Tyne Tees buoy, with a peak significant wave height of 4.7m and storm duration of 38 hours. However, the wave period was over 14 seconds, which is unusual and the longest storm wave period recorded.

There were only four storms in 2016, with one in January, one in April, and two in November. None of these storms appear particularly atypical from the overall record, although the storm in April was from the south-west. In 2017 there were eleven storms recorded above the threshold, the second highest number. The storm on 13th January 2017 had the fourth largest peak wave height recorded, and the second highest energy at peak.

The latest data in Table 2-5 for this report shows two storms recorded in 2018 to the end of April.

Table 2-5 Storm Analysis at Tyne Tees WaveNet Buoy (data to 24th April 2018)

General Storm Information							At Peak					
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No. Events	Mean Direction Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
19/03/2007 10:30	21/03/2007 05:30	43.0	20/03/2007 14:30	21	73	79.0	6.2	14.8	8.5	23	1.7 E+4	1.6 E+7
25/06/2007 20:00	26/06/2007 13:30	17.5	26/06/2007 10:00	33	28	81.5	4.4	10.3	7.2	23	4.0 E+3	2.7 E+6
26/09/2007 03:00	27/09/2007 05:00	26.0	26/09/2007 19:00	10	36	80.3	4.6	13.8	7.6	6	7.8 E+3	4.1 E+6
08/11/2007 20:00	12/11/2007 15:00	91.0	09/11/2007 08:30	14	64	78.7	6.2	15.9	9.0	6	1.9 E+4	1.9 E+7
19/11/2007 03:30	25/11/2007 21:30	162.0	23/11/2007 05:00	74	59	78.7	4.9	12.7	7.6	17	7.6 E+3	8.2 E+6
08/12/2007 03:00	10/12/2007 14:30	59.5	08/12/2007 03:30	65	11	85.0	4.1	12.8	7.6	17	5.4 E+3	1.2 E+6
03/01/2008 10:30	04/01/2008 01:30	15.0	03/01/2008 23:30	77	24	14.6	4.2	10.9	7.6	62	4.2 E+3	2.5 E+6
01/02/2008 15:00	02/02/2008 09:30	18.5	02/02/2008 00:00	37	34	80.8	6.0	16.4	9.0	17	1.9 E+4	9.6 E+6
10/03/2008 08:30	10/03/2008 12:30	4.0	10/03/2008 11:00	146	9	307.5	4.6	9.6	6.5	141	3.8 E+3	7.3 E+5
17/03/2008 15:00	25/03/2008 03:00	180.0	22/03/2008 05:00	59	78	83.8	7.9	14.8	9.0	6	2.7 E+4	2.3 E+7
05/04/2008 22:00	07/04/2008 05:00	31.0	06/04/2008 19:00	45	22	83.7	4.6	13.9	7.6	6	7.9 E+3	3.3 E+6
20/07/2008 16:00	21/07/2008 09:30	17.5	20/07/2008 23:30	15	8	76.0	4.2	11.8	7.6	11	4.9 E+3	9.1 E+5
03/10/2008 03:00	03/10/2008 20:30	17.5	03/10/2008 16:30	30	32	82.4	4.7	13.6	7.6	23	8.1 E+3	5.2 E+6
21/11/2008 04:00	25/11/2008 12:30	104.5	22/11/2008 11:30	15	112	75.8	6.0	15.6	8.5	11	1.7 E+4	2.2 E+7
10/12/2008 12:00	13/12/2008 18:00	78.0	13/12/2008 08:00	109	37	332.1	4.9	10.0	7.2	129	4.7 E+3	4.0 E+6
31/01/2009 16:30	03/02/2009 09:00	64.5	02/02/2009 22:00	84	57	7.2	5.8	11.4	8.5	84	8.7 E+3	8.1 E+6
23/03/2009 20:30	28/03/2009 20:30	120.0	28/03/2009 18:30	92	26	89.6	4.9	11.0	7.6	0	5.7 E+3	3.2 E+6
10/07/2009 01:30	10/07/2009 02:30	1.0	10/07/2009 01:30	13	2	79.0	4.2	11.9	7.2	11	5.0 E+3	2.3 E+5
29/11/2009 20:00	30/11/2009 15:00	19.0	30/11/2009 00:30	17	39	73.3	6.0	11.2	8.0	11	9.0 E+3	6.3 E+6
17/12/2009 10:30	18/12/2009 05:00	18.5	17/12/2009 19:30	64	36	26.2	5.4	12.7	8.0	68	9.4 E+3	5.7 E+6
30/12/2009 09:00	30/12/2009 23:00	14.0	30/12/2009 12:30	84	25	7.9	5.1	9.0	7.2	90	4.1 E+3	2.3 E+6
06/01/2010 05:30	06/01/2010 11:00	5.5	06/01/2010 06:30	30	10	63.7	4.2	12.7	7.2	11	5.7 E+3	1.1 E+6
29/01/2010 10:30	30/01/2010 00:30	14.0	29/01/2010 22:30	6	29	83.7	5.4	10.2	8.0	6	6.1 E+3	3.0 E+6
26/02/2010	27/02/2010	4.0	27/02/2010	18	7	72.4	4.6	10.1	7.6	17	4.2 E+3	7.0 E+5

General Storm Information							At Peak					
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No. Events	Mean Direction Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
22:30	02:30		01:00									
19/06/2010 07:00	20/06/2010 08:30	25.5	19/06/2010 20:00	21	49	69.2	5.4	12.7	7.6	23	9.4 E+3	8.5 E+6
29/08/2010 14:00	30/08/2010 06:30	16.5	29/08/2010 22:30	145	27	91.8	4.9	10.6	7.6	0	5.3 E+3	2.9 E+6
06/09/2010 22:30	07/09/2010 16:00	17.5	07/09/2010 15:30	101	23	353.1	4.6	10.5	8.0	90	4.5 E+3	2.3 E+6
17/09/2010 07:00	17/09/2010 18:30	11.5	17/09/2010 08:30	10	17	80.7	4.7	13.1	8.0	11	7.5 E+3	2.9 E+6
24/09/2010 03:00	26/09/2010 00:00	45.0	24/09/2010 10:00	19	89	72.9	5.3	12.1	8.0	11	8.0 E+3	1.3 E+7
19/10/2010 23:30	24/10/2010 16:30	113.0	20/10/2010 10:00	13	17	78.2	4.2	13.4	7.2	17	6.4 E+3	1.9 E+6
08/11/2010 14:00	09/11/2010 20:30	30.5	09/11/2010 10:00	87	60	3.4	5.6	10.5	8.0	73	6.9 E+3	7.8 E+6
17/11/2010 11:00	17/11/2010 18:30	7.5	17/11/2010 12:00	135	10	322.2	4.7	9.2	6.9	129	3.7 E+3	8.1 E+5
29/11/2010 19:30	02/12/2010 08:30	61.0	29/11/2010 21:00	80	46	11.7	5.1	11.2	7.6	56	6.3 E+3	5.5 E+6
16/12/2010 15:00	17/12/2010 06:30	15.5	17/12/2010 03:30	10	27	80.5	4.6	12.5	7.6	17	6.4 E+3	3.2 E+6
23/07/2011 14:00	24/07/2011 11:00	21.0	24/07/2011 03:00	23	39	67.1	4.7	12.8	7.6	17	7.2 E+3	5.8 E+6
24/10/2011 18:30	25/10/2011 09:30	15.0	25/10/2011 09:30	103	26	348.5	4.1	11.3	6.9	79	4.2 E+3	2.6 E+6
09/12/2011 08:30	09/12/2011 12:00	3.5	09/12/2011 10:30	6	6	83.8	4.5	14.3	8.3	6	8.0 E+3	1.2 E+6
05/01/2012 15:30	06/01/2012 05:00	13.5	06/01/2012 03:00	11	23	79.5	4.6	12.5	7.6	17	6.4 E+3	2.9 E+6
03/04/2012 13:30	04/04/2012 10:30	21.0	03/04/2012 16:00	64	43	26.5	5.3	10.5	7.3	49	6.0 E+3	5.5 E+6
24/09/2012 08:30	25/09/2012 10:30	26.0	25/09/2012 01:30	74	50	16.7	4.7	12.3	8.0	62	6.7 E+3	7.4 E+6
26/10/2012 16:30	27/10/2012 14:30	22.0	26/10/2012 23:00	10	37	80.5	4.9	15.3	7.6	11	1.1 E+4	5.7 E+6
05/12/2012 16:00	15/12/2012 01:30	225.5	14/12/2012 19:30	53	47	38.4	5.4	10.5	7.6	96	6.4 E+3	5.6 E+6
20/12/2012 06:00	21/12/2012 14:30	32.5	20/12/2012 23:00	103	62	347.6	5.6	11.3	8.0	96	8.0 E+3	8.6 E+6
18/01/2013 18:30	22/01/2013 06:00	83.5	21/01/2013 10:00	81	56	8.9	6.7	11.2	8.5	84	1.1 E+4	1.1 E+7
06/02/2013 08:00	07/02/2013 06:00	22.0	06/02/2013 12:30	42	45	82.2	5.4	11.9	7.6	11	8.2 E+3	6.7 E+6
07/03/2013 21:00	10/03/2013 21:30	72.5	08/03/2013 04:00	65	40	25.5	4.9	10.7	7.6	73	5.4 E+3	4.4 E+6
18/03/2013 09:00	25/03/2013 01:30	160.5	23/03/2013 14:30	85	157	5.0	6.0	12.1	8.0	90	1.1 E+4	2.8 E+7
23/05/2013	24/05/2013	18.0	23/05/2013	13	35	77.3	6.7	12.5	8.5	17	1.4 E+4	7.1 E+6

General Storm Information							At Peak					
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No. Events	Mean Direction Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
18:00	12:00		22:30									
10/09/2013 13:00	10/09/2013 19:30	6.5	10/09/2013 14:00	11	14	79.4	4.4	11.0	7.2	11	4.6 E+3	1.5 E+6
09/10/2013 21:30	11/10/2013 09:00	35.5	10/10/2013 21:30	65	65	79.5	5.6	12.5	7.8	25	9.5 E+3	1.3 E+7
29/11/2013 22:30	30/11/2013 06:30	8.0	30/11/2013 00:30	42	17	84.5	5.6	12.7	8.0	11	1.0 E+4	3.3 E+6
05/12/2013 14:00	07/12/2013 04:30	38.5	06/12/2013 20:00	24	60	80.8	4.7	17.0	9.0	6	1.3 E+4	1.2 E+7
27/12/2013 09:30	27/12/2013 12:30	3.0	27/12/2013 10:00	218	3	248.9	4.1	7.3	6.5	203	1.8 E+3	1.3 E+5
05/02/2014 04:00	05/02/2014 18:00	14.0	05/02/2014 05:30	139	9	318.6	4.4	9.3	6.9	129	3.3 E+3	7.2 E+5
12/02/2014 20:00	14/02/2014 19:30	47.5	12/02/2014 21:00	172	12	279.1	4.6	8.9	6.5	141	3.2 E+3	8.6 E+5
21/10/2014 22:00	22/10/2014 01:30	3.5	21/10/2014 23:00	6	5	84.0	4.4	11.5	7.6	6	5.0 E+3	6.0 E+5
31/01/2015 09:30	01/02/2015 19:30	34.0	31/01/2015 23:30	66	66	87.9	6.2	13.1	8.0	6	1.3 E+4	1.3 E+7
03/09/2015 05:30	04/09/2015 06:00	24.5	03/09/2015 18:30	13	15	78.1	4.4	10.5	6.8	11	4.3 E+3	1.6 E+6
21/11/2015 01:30	21/11/2015 14:30	13.0	21/11/2015 05:30	72	27	85.9	7.1	11.8	8.5	356	1.4 E+4	5.7 E+6
30/12/2015 09:30	07/01/2016 12:00	194.5	03/01/2016 13:00	81	190	10.3	5.3	11.8	8.5	75	7.6 E+3	2.5 E+7
14/01/2016 11:00	16/01/2016 03:00	40.0	15/01/2016 23:30	58	19	80.8	4.7	12.5	7.8	27	6.9 E+3	2.1 E+6
25/04/2016 19:30	26/04/2016 03:00	7.5	25/04/2016 23:00	239	13	89.2	5.1	11.8	7.9	359	7.1 E+3	1.7 E+6
05/11/2016 04:00	07/11/2016 02:30	46.5	06/11/2016 20:00	76	82	65.2	5.4	12.5	8.0	63	8.9E+3	1.3 E+7
21/11/2016 18:30	21/11/2016 23:30	5.0	21/11/2016 20:30	63	11	27.7	5.5	9.5	7.3	58	5.3 E+3	1.2 E+6
02/01/2017 05:00	04/01/2017 22:00	65.0	04/01/2017 14:00	13	35	77.9	5.0	14.3	8.3	10	1.0 E+4	6.0 E+6
13/01/2017 08:00	14/01/2017 08:00	24.0	13/01/2017 16:30	85	48	78.1	6.5	15.4	8.8	11	2.0 E+4	1.3 E+7
12/02/2017 02:30	12/02/2017 21:00	18.5	12/02/2017 07:00	74	29	17.3	4.4	10.5	7.1	66	4.3 E+3	2.9 E+6
23/02/2017 15:00	23/02/2017 18:30	3.5	23/02/2017 15:00	14	5	77.1	4.3	12.5	6.7	13	5.6 E+3	5.8 E+5
25/04/2017 19:00	26/04/2017 05:30	10.5	26/04/2017 05:30	145	7	88.62	4.04	11.8	6.9	8	4.5 E+3	7.3 E+5
08/05/2017 02:00	08/05/2017 03:00	1	08/05/2017 02:30	20	3	70.63	4.34	11.1	7.1	25	4.6 E+3	3.7 E+5
29/10/2017 05:30	29/10/2017 13:30	8	29/10/2017 13:00	7	15	82.68	4.52	12.5	7.8	14	6.3 E+3	1.9 E+6
12/11/2017	12/11/2017	10	12/11/2017	204	18	91.8	4.6	9.1	7.1	353	3.5 E+3	1.6 E+6

General Storm Information							At Peak					
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No. Events	Mean Direction Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
12:00	22:00		16:00									
29/11/2017 00:00	01/12/2017 03:30	51.5	29/11/2017 03:30	42	46	85.44	4.45	11.8	7.3	6	5.4 E+3	5.1 E+6
08/12/2017 07:00	09/12/2017 20:00	37	08/12/2017 17:00	28	67	80.12	5.62	14.3	8.3	18	1.3 E+4	1.5 E+7
27/12/2017 21:30	28/12/2017 02:30	5	28/12/2017 00:00	14	5	76.4	4.13	12.5	7.3	18	5.3 E+3	6.7 E+5
06/01/2018 12:00	06/01/2018 15:00	3	06/01/2018 12:00	9	2	80.64	4.01	12.5	7.4	8	5.0 E+3	2.7 E+5
01/02/2018 13:30	02/02/2018 05:00	15.5	01/02/2018 23:30	112	23	87.88	4.7	10.5	7.5	3	4.8 E+3	2.5 E+6

Notes: ¹ The time of the storm peak is based on peak wave energy, which is calculated in SANDS using $E = \rho \cdot g \cdot H_s^2 \cdot L_o / 8$, with the offshore wave length $L_o = g \cdot T_p^2 / 2 \cdot \pi$

2.4. Whitby Waverider Buoy

In the baseline report, one full year's data for Whitby (October 2010 to October 2011) was analysed in SANDS to prepare a baseline wave rose and scatter table. The new data collected is from a very similar location and now covers the period from 17th January 2013 to 31st March 2018. The data were imported into SANDS for comparison and analysis alongside the other available monitoring data; see Figure 2.5.

Supporting monthly plots of the new data are provided in Appendix C.

2.4.1. Wave Height vs Peak Period

The distribution of the wave height and period for the wave data record has been plotted as a scatter plot with the data for 1st April to 31st March each year overlaid on the baseline data (20/05/2010 to 04/11/2011); see Figure 2.8 below. Different symbols have been used to distinguish the baseline data from 2010/11 and different years of the current deployment. The distribution of wave height and period appears similar between the years, although 2013/14 and 2017/18 data includes a number of longer wave periods for wave heights in the 2m to 5m range. The 2016/17 data includes quite a lot of longer wave periods for the 0m to 2m wave heights than in other years. There are several larger storm waves in the new data set with $H_s > 6m$, similar to the 2016/17 data set.




Figure 2.8 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Zero crossing period at Whitby wave buoy site

2.4.2. Wave Rose

The directional data of the wave record has also been used to plot wave roses for the baseline and new data sets, which all show a quite similar distribution, see Figure 2.9 below. The wave roses are fairly similar and show that the waves predominantly approach the coastline at Whitby from the north by northeast direction (0 to 30 degrees).




Figure 2.9 Wave Roses at Whitby wave buoy site

2.4.3. Storm Analysis

A storm analysis of the baseline Whitby data set was originally undertaken for the baseline report using a wave height threshold of 4m and a storm separation threshold of 120 hours. The period of data examined ran from 20/05/2010 to 25/10/2011. The analysis was revised for the 2014/15 report using a slightly lower threshold of 3.9m to detect more storms and the updated results with the latest data now to 31/03/2018 are presented in Table 2-6 below. The storms mostly arrive from the north to east-northeast (5 to 66 degrees). The storm in the baseline record with the previous largest wave height (5.1m H_{m0}) at peak occurred on 25th September 2010. The storms analysis of the new data is shown in Table 2-7. To aid interpretation of the results in the storm Tables alternate years have been shaded **and the storm with the largest peak wave height each year has been highlighted in bold**. The annual storm with the highest wave energy at peak has also been **highlighted in bold red text** as this depends on wave period as well as wave height and so is not always the same.

Table 2-6 Storm Analysis results for Whitby – Baseline data 20/05/2010 to 25/10/2011

General Storm Information					At Peak						
Start Time	End Time	Duration (Hours)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No of Events (30 min dataset)	Mean Dir Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
19/06/2010 08:30	20/06/2010 09:30	25.0	20/06/2010 00:00	26	47	64.7	4.9	13.5	28	8.6 E+3	7.6 E+6
29/08/2010 15:00	30/08/2010 06:30	15.5	29/08/2010 17:30	6	16	84.4	4.4	9.5	6	3.5 E+3	1.4 E+6
17/09/2010 09:00	17/09/2010 12:30	3.5	17/09/2010 11:00	24	3	67.5	4.4	13.5	22	6.9 E+3	5.8 E+5
24/09/2010 05:30	26/09/2010 04:00	46.5	25/09/2010 17:00	24	84	66.6	5.1	12.2	28	7.5 E+3	1.2 E+7
20/10/2010 08:00	20/10/2010 11:30	3.5	20/10/2010 11:30	26	3	69.0	3.9	11.0	22	4.9 E+3	3.2 E+5
08/11/2010 17:30	09/11/2010 19:00	25.5	09/11/2010 05:30	66	28	25.4	4.7	11.8	68	5.3 E+3	3.1 E+6
29/11/2010 19:30	02/12/2010 01:30	54.0	29/11/2010 22:00	61	24	29.9	4.7	12.8	56	6.1 E+3	2.8 E+6
16/12/2010 19:00	16/12/2010 20:30	1.5	16/12/2010 20:30	14	2	78.5	3.9	9.1	17	3.6 E+3	1.7 E+5
23/07/2011 15:30	24/07/2011 11:00	19.5	24/07/2011 03:00	28	36	62.1	4.2	10.8	22	5.8 E+3	4.9 E+6

Notes: ¹ The time of the storm peak is based on peak wave energy, which is calculated in SANDS using $E = \rho \cdot g \cdot H_s^2 \cdot L_o / 8$, with the offshore wave length $L_o = g \cdot T_p^2 / 2 \cdot \pi$

Comparing the storm data at Whitby in Table 2-6 and Table 2-7 with those in Table 2-1 and Table 2-2 for Newbiggin, it can be seen that several of the storms were recorded at both locations, but the durations, peak wave heights and directions for the storms were quite different. Due to the differing conditions the storm analysis also identified different storms at both locations.

Table 2-7 Storm analysis for Whitby WB (data 17/01/2013 to 31/03/2018)

General Storm Information					At Peak							
StartTime	EndTime	Duration (hr)	Peak of Storm	Mean Dir (°)	No of Events	Mean Direction Vector	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	Total Energy (KJ/m)
21/01/2013 02:30	22/01/2013 03:00	24.5	21/01/2013 14:30	64	38	26.7	5.0	11.1	8.2	61	6.0 E+3	5.0 E+6
06/02/2013 11:00	07/02/2013 04:00	17.0	06/02/2013 18:30	17	35	73.5	4.8	11.8	7.1	16	6.4 E+3	4.3 E+6
08/03/2013 03:30	11/03/2013 05:30	74.0	11/03/2013 04:00	58	12	35.5	4.3	10.0	7.1	45	3.7 E+3	1.1 E+6
18/03/2013 18:30	24/03/2013 17:30	143.0	23/03/2013 13:00	70	95	20.3	5.2	11.1	8.2	72	6.6 E+3	1.2 E+7
23/05/2013 21:00	24/05/2013 12:30	15.5	24/05/2013 00:00	20	27	70.3	5.8	12.5	8.3	24	1.0 E+4	5.0 E+6
10/09/2013 14:00	10/09/2013 22:30	8.5	10/09/2013 16:00	19	17	71.5	4.4	11.1	6.9	24	4.6 E+3	1.8 E+6
10/10/2013 01:30	11/10/2013 06:30	29.0	11/10/2013 00:00	30	57	69.2	5.7	13.3	8.3	31	1.1 E+4	1.1 E+7
30/11/2013 00:00	30/11/2013 06:30	6.5	30/11/2013 03:30	16	13	74.8	4.8	12.5	7.4	20	7.1 E+3	2.1 E+6
05/12/2013 20:00	06/12/2013 22:00	26.0	06/12/2013 19:30	20	45	70.6	4.7	16.7	9.1	32	1.2 E+4	8.2 E+6
14/10/2014 04:30	14/10/2014 05:30	1.0	14/10/2014 05:30	52	2	40.3	4.1	8.3	6.5	53	2.3 E+3	1.2 E+5
31/01/2015 10:30	01/02/2015 18:00	31.5	01/02/2015 02:30	14	60	79.1	5.7	11.8	7.8	11	8.9 E+3	9.0 E+6
03/09/2015 18:30	04/09/2015 07:00	12.5	03/09/2015 18:30	26	3	64.9	3.9	10.5	6.5	27	3.3 E+3	2.6 E+5

21/11/2015 07:00	21/11/2015 15:30	8.5	21/11/2015 07:30	16	14	75.3	6.7*	12.5*	8.3*	14	1.4 E+4	2.7 E+6
03/01/2016 08:00	06/01/2016 13:00	77.0	03/01/2016 10:00	63	20	29.3	4.7	11.1	8.5	58	5.3 E+3	2.2 E+6
14/01/2016 13:00	16/01/2016 06:00	41.0	14/01/2016 13:30	10	12	80.3	4.7	10.5	7.1	0	4.8 E+3	1.3 E+6
05/11/2016 06:00	07/11/2016 02:00	44.0	05/11/2016 06:00	50	62	58.8	4.8	28.6	8.0	191	3.8 E+4	9.7 E+6
21/11/2016 18:30	21/11/2016 22:30	4.0	21/11/2016 21:00	50	9	40.8	5.1	9.1	7.4	52	4.2 E+3	8.3 E+5
02/01/2017 06:30	04/01/2017 21:30	63.0	04/01/2017 12:30	21	32	70.5	4.9	12.5	8.3	23	7.5 E+3	5.0 E+6
13/01/2017 09:30	14/01/2017 03:00	17.5	13/01/2017 19:00	27	24	70.5	6.6	14.3	9.1	24	1.7 E+4	5.5 E+6
12/02/2017 02:30	12/02/2017 19:30	17.0	12/02/2017 05:00	55	26	35.8	4.6	10.5	7.3	48	4.7 E+3	2.7E+6
23/02/2017 18:00	23/02/2017 19:00	1.0	23/02/2017 18:00	23	2	73.3	4.4	9.1	6.8	14	3.1 E+3	1.2 E+5
29/10/2017 07:30	29/10/2017 14:30	7.0	29/10/2017 14:30	16	11	74.4	4.0	11.8	7.3	18	4.4 E+3	1.2 E+6
12/11/2017 14:00	13/11/2017 00:00	10.0	12/11/2017 18:00	14	17	76.2	4.5	10.0	7.1	7	4.0 E+3	1.5 E+6
28/11/2017 23:00	01/12/2017 04:30	53.5	30/11/2017 23:00	28	29	74.5	4.5	10.5	6.8	10	4.5 E+3	2.9 E+6
08/12/2017 12:00	09/12/2017 15:00	27.0	08/12/2017 21:30	20	52	70.8	5.1	15.4	8.7	18	1.2 E+4	1.2 E+7
01/02/2018 15:30	02/02/2018 05:00	13.5	02/02/2018 02:30	15	14	76.1	4.8	11.1	7.4	18	5.6 E+3	1.4 E+6
28/02/2018 01:30	02/03/2018 21:00	67.5	01/03/2018 12:00	65	114	26.9	6.1	11.8	8.3	56	1.0 E+4	1.8 E+7
15/03/2018 16:30	18/03/2018 21:00	76.5	18/03/2018 05:30	61	131	28.9	6.1	11.8	8.3	68	1.0 E+4	2.2 E+7

Note *= As noted in the CCO annual report in Appendix E, the waves were breaking at the buoy location during several hours of this storm.

The largest peak wave height of 6.7m in the record occurred on the 21st November 2015. The largest peak wave energy recorded was on the 5th November 2016, which is also notable for having an unusual peak wave direction of 191°. The mean wave direction for all storms recorded fall between 15° and 70°, with the majority being between 15° and 30°. The most recently recorded event on the 18th March 2018 had the highest total energy recorded, and was also the storm with the second longest duration of 77 hours.

As only five years' wave data are available, it is not yet possible to place these conditions in a longer-term context. Further insight into this can be gained by reference to the longer data set from the Tyne Tees wave buoy, or the longer term Met Office model data analysed in the 2013-14 report.

2.5. Whitby NTSLF Tide Gauge

There is a tide gauge at Whitby that is operated continuously by the National Tide and Sea Level Facility (NTSLF) on behalf of the Environment Agency as part of the main UK tide gauge network. Information on this tide gauge installation is available on the NTSLF website: <http://www.ntsfl.org/tgi/portinfo?port=Whitby>, including the site history reproduced below. The Chart Datum at Whitby is 3m below Ordnance Datum (<http://www.ntsfl.org/tides/datum>). Due to its location in the mouth of the estuary the recorded water levels can be significantly influenced by high freshwater flows in the River Esk.

Whitby Tide Gauge Site history

- 1980 – Installed Aanderaa recorder attached to a pneumatic bubbler
- 1989 – DATARING system installed with full-tide pressure points; the Aanderaa recorder was removed
- 1995 – New steel work with two full-tide and mid-tide measuring systems installed
- 2002 – POL data logger installed.

Note that the issues with missing extreme low water level measurements are noted on the PSMML website, see further information in Section 3.4.

Data is available on the internet in real time (<http://www.ntsfl.org/data/realtime?port=Whitby>) and quality controlled data can be downloaded from the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) website.

Previous reports have looked at the tide gauge data going back to 1980. Figure 2.10 shows the tide level at Whitby for 1980 to 2016. The latest reports have looked at each year of data as it became available.

Table 2-8 Predicted tide levels at Whitby

Tidal State	Level (m Chart Datum)	Level (m Ordnance Datum)
HAT	6.21	3.21
MHWS	5.59	2.59
MHWN	4.50	1.50
MLWN	2.25	-0.75
MLWS	0.99	-2.01
LAT	0.22	-2.78
Highest predicted 2013	6.03	3.03
Lowest predicted 2013	0.41	-2.59
Highest predicted 2014	6.17	3.17
Lowest predicted 2014	0.32	-2.68
Highest predicted 2015	6.21	3.21
Lowest predicted 2015	0.28	-2.72
Highest predicted 2016	6.14	3.14
Lowest predicted 2016	0.32	-2.68
Highest predicted 2017	5.97	2.97
Lowest predicted 2017	0.48	-2.52
Highest predicted 2018	6.05	3.05
Lowest predicted 2018	0.35	-2.65
Highest predicted 2019	6.16	3.16
Lowest predicted 2019	0.27	-2.73

Note: Based on data from <http://www.ntsfl.org/tgi/portinfo?port=Whitby>

The 15 highest water levels observed at Whitby are presented in descending order in Table 2-9.

Table 2-9 Maximum observed water levels at Whitby NTSFL gauge*

Date	Level (mOD)
28/01/2014 15:00	4.7
05/12/2013 17:15	4.32
01/02/1983 18:00	3.61
06/12/2013 05:45	3.49
18/03/2007 15:15	3.48
07/10/1990 05:00	3.47
04/01/2014 18:00	3.46
09/02/1997 17:15	3.46
01/01/1995 15:30	3.46
15/11/2005 03:00	3.43
20/03/1988 17:00	3.42
07/10/2006 03:15	3.42
27/02/1990 17:00	3.39
20/09/2005 04:45	3.36
12/01/2009 16:45	3.35

* This data is no longer available. The last available report is dated 2015.




Figure 2.10 Water Level data availability at Whitby NTSLF tide gauge site for 1980-2016

The data for Jan 2016 to March 2017 is largely flagged as suspect. The “usable data” covers the periods from 20th October to 20th December 2016, 17th January to 9th February 2017 and 27th February to 30th March 2017. There is a large gap in the data from 2nd April to 15th June 2017. After this date, there are no significant gaps in the data until the end of March 2018. Figure 2.11 shows tide data availability for April 2016 to March 2018.




Figure 2.11 Water Level data availability at Whitby NTSLF tide gauge site for March 2017 to March 2018

2.6. Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge

A tide gauge was deployed in Whitby by Fugro Emu for the Cell 1 regional monitoring programme during May 2013. Unfortunately, there were problems with the deployment and the instrumentation such that reliable data was not received until early 2014. Data from this tide gauge was therefore first included in the Cell 1 report on wave and tide data for 2014/15.

The data set for 2017 was obtained from the Channel Coast Observatory (CCO) following their quality review and assessment of the data. The CCO report is included in Appendix E and the standard tidal heights they derived are presented in Table 2-10 below.

Tidal levels		
Observation period	January 2014 – July 2015	
Tide Level	Elevation (OD)	Elevation (CD)
HAT	3.14	6.14
MHWS	2.52	5.52
MHWN	1.41	4.41
MLWN	-0.79	2.21
MLWS	-1.91	1.09
LAT	-2.91	0.09

Table 2-10 Standard tidal levels at Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge (CCO,2017)

The highest water level recorded with the Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge in 2017 was 3.48mOD on 13th January 2017.

The data from the two Whitby tide gauges has been compared by plotting the data together. An example for a short period in 2014 is shown in Figure 2.12. As noted in the 2014/15 report, comparing the derived standard tidal level data in Table 2-8 and Table 2-10, it is apparent that the levels recorded from the Cell 1 gauge are around 0.2m lower than those from the nearby NTSLF gauge. Analysis of the two data sets from February to December 2014 revealed a mean difference of -0.18m and standard deviation of 0.02m. This takes account of concurrent measurements only and ignores gaps. The NTSLF gauge did not record (or had flagged quality issues for) levels for low water on the larger spring tides, which is discussed further in Sections 3.4 and 4.2. Some of the lowest tides are also not picked up by the Cell 1 gauge.




Figure 2.12 Example comparison of water level data from Whitby tide gauges

The reason for the 0.18m difference between the recorded levels remains uncertain but appears most likely to relate to differences between the datum surveys for the two sites. Noting the issues with long term level change in the NTSLF data it appears possible that there has been a datum shift of the NTSLF gauge and it is recommended that both gauges are resurveyed to resolve the issue. At the time of writing this report there are no current plans to address the issues at the NTSLF gauge in the short term, however in the long term ideally the Environment Agency would like to replace the gauge.

2.7. Scarborough Waverider Buoy

Baseline data

At Scarborough, data from the Waverider buoys deployed by Cefas and Emu (labelled as SBC and DWR wave buoys) were considered in the baseline report. These were located about 2.8 and 4.8 km offshore respectively. The data record reviewed at the original Emu DWR wave buoy runs from April 2004 to March 2006 and the record for the Cefas SBC buoy runs from April 2003 to July 2004. Scatter tables and wave roses were produced for both datasets using full years of data and these were for two and one year respectively. Storm and extremes analyses were carried out for the full record of the DWR wave data; the resulting figures and tables are shown in the sub-sections below.

New data

Under the latest phase of the programme, a Waverider buoy was deployed by Fugro-Emu offshore from Scarborough on 17th January 2013 at 54°17.460'N, 000°21.000'W. This is similar to the original SBC location. On 10th June 2013 the buoy was serviced and, following requests from fishermen, the buoy was moved to a further offshore location at 54°17.605'N, 000°19.082'W, which is similar to the previous DWR location. Details of the deployment are given in previous reports. Monthly plots of the data for April 2017 to March 2018 are included in Appendix D. There are several large gaps in the data set when buoy was off station due to damage. No data is available from 25th May to 8th June 2016 or 6th November to 15th November 2016 or 16th March to 20th April 2017.

2.7.1. Wave height vs Wave Period

The distribution of the wave height and peak period for the baseline wave data record at Scarborough DWR and Scarborough SBC wave buoys has been plotted as a scatter plot (see Figure 2.13 and Figure 2.14 below, respectively). The new data for the further offshore site has been overlaid on Figure 2.13 to compare to the baseline, and has also been plotted in Figure 2.15 to show fits for both peak and zero crossing period.

The new data plotted in Figure 2.13 covers the period from June 2013 to March 2018 and it is notable that the wave periods for the larger wave heights show a higher peak period than the baseline data. The 2016/17 data shows higher wave periods for the 0-2m and >4m wave height ranges than in previous years. The 2016/17 data includes the highest recorded wave heights (Hs) and zero crossing wave period (Tz). The 2017/18 data set includes higher wave periods of 8 to 10 sec for wave height of between 2 to 4m.




Figure 2.13 Scatter plot of Wave Height Vs Peak Period offshore Scarborough

Comparing the blue 'baseline' 2003 to 2006 data to the new data from the current programme, there appears to be an issue with some of the wave period data in the earlier data set. The lower peak in periods for wave heights greater than 4.5m suggests that although the baseline data set was indicated to be peak period, T_p , some of the records may actually be T_{m0} (T_z). The wave height to period relationship for the baseline data set should therefore be treated with caution.




Figure 2.14 Scatter plot Wave Height Vs Period at Scarborough SBC site (April 2003 to April 2004)




Figure 2.15 Scatter plot Wave Height Vs Period at Scarborough WB2 site (June 2013 to March 2018)

2.7.2. Wave Rose

The wave rose analysis of the baseline Scarborough DWR and SBC Waverider datasets (Figure 2.16 and Figure 2.17 respectively) show that the majority of the waves come from the north to northeast (0-30 degrees). The SBC dataset also shows a secondary wave direction from 105 to 120 degrees. This is interesting as the DWR buoy is further offshore and so might have been expected to have a wider spread of directions. It may be that the wider direction spread is made more apparent at the closer inshore location as it is slightly more sheltered from waves from the north, but alternatively the difference is more likely to reflect the different conditions occurring during the two time periods analysed.

A wave rose for the new data collected from the current, further offshore, location known as Scarborough WB2 is given in Figure 2.18. All three wave roses show fairly similar distributions, with most storms from 0 to 30 degrees and a secondary direction of 105 to 135 degrees. The 2017/18 wave rose however also shows an increased contribution from 345 to 0 degrees.




Figure 2.16 Wave Rose at Scarborough DWR site




Figure 2.17 Wave Rose at Scarborough SBC site




Figure 2.18 Wave Rose at Scarborough WB2 site (June 2013 to March 2018)

2.7.3. Storm Analysis

A storm analysis was carried out on the Scarborough DWR wave data (between 30/04/2003 and 31/03/2006), using a storm separation threshold of 120 hours and a wave height threshold of 4m. The results are shown in Table 2-11 below.

As with the Tyne Tees analysis, alternate years have been shaded, the largest storm Hs each year **is highlighted in bold** and the largest wave energy at storm peak **highlighted in bold red**. Note that only 2004 and 2005 are complete years so the conclusions that can be drawn from this analysis are limited. The largest recorded wave height at the storm peak was 6.3m on 28th January 2004. The largest wave energy at peak occurred on 25th November 2005.

Storms analysis from the new Waverider buoy deployed offshore from Scarborough as part of the current programme in January 2013 is provided in Table 2-12 below. This uses the full data set, ignoring the change of location in June 2013. It should be noted that the buoy was off station during the early December 2013 storm and to clarify a note has been added in the table below. The storm on the 13th January 2017 had the highest peak wave height and the highest peak wave energy in the record. This storm was unusual in that the wave direction was south by south-east, whilst the more usual wave direction recorded are north by north-east and east by north-east. The most recently recorded storm on the 17th March 2018 has the highest recorded total wave energy.

Table 2-11 Storm analysis for Scarborough DWR wave buoy – baseline info

General Storm Information							At Peak			
Start Time	End Time	Dur (Hs)	Peak of Storm ¹	Mean Dir (°)	No of Events (30 min dataset)	Mean Dir Vector (°)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Dir (°)	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)
14/12/2003 20:05	15/12/2003 20:35	25	15/12/2003 01:05	197	44	100.2	5.2	8.7	13	2808.3
21/12/2003 06:05	22/12/2003 08:05	26	21/12/2003 10:05	205	52	198.0	6.1	8.7	18	3961.0
28/01/2004 14:05	29/01/2004 08:05	18	28/01/2004 14:05	281	19	121.2	6.3	6.5	56	2321.3
08/02/2004 11:35	08/02/2004 23:35	12	08/02/2004 14:35	227	22	190.1	5.8	7.6	242	2123.2
22/02/2004 13:05	27/02/2004 06:35	114	22/02/2004 14:05	177	64	99.0	4.1	9.8	25	2233.6
12/11/2004 21:05	13/11/2004 01:35	4.5	12/11/2004 23:35	7	8	82.9	4.4	9.7	4	2467.5
23/01/2005 19:05	24/01/2005 09:35	15	24/01/2005 00:05	23	30	67.4	5.4	10.0	20	4047.8
19/02/2005 08:35	24/02/2005 14:05	126	24/02/2005 02:35	36	33	54.7	4.6	9.0	46	2363.1
08/04/2005 05:05	09/04/2005 01:05	20	08/04/2005 11:05	15	40	74.9	5.6	11.0	16	5286.2
24/11/2005 18:35	26/11/2005 10:05	40	25/11/2005 03:05	22	40	76.2	4.5	20.2	22	11368.1
16/12/2005 10:36	17/12/2005 18:35	32	16/12/2005 11:36	18	56	72.5	4.7	13.9	11	5799.2
08/02/2006 21:35	10/02/2006 00:35	27	09/02/2006 16:35	21	54	68.9	5.2	10.2	16	3920.2
28/02/2006 11:35	01/03/2006 00:05	13	28/02/2006 22:05	11	11	79.4	4.0	9.9	8	2183.3

Notes: ¹ The time of the storm peak is based on peak wave energy, which is calculated in SANDS using $E = \rho \cdot g \cdot H_s^2 \cdot L_o / 8$, with the offshore wave length $L_o = g \cdot T_p^2 / 2 \cdot \pi$

Table 2-12 Storm analysis for Scarborough WB (data 17/01/2013 to 31/03/2018)

General Storm Information							At Peak					Total Energy (KJ/m)
Start Time	End Time	Dur (hr)	Peak of Storm	Mean Dir	No of Events	Mean Direction Vector	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Tz (s)	Dir	Energy @ Peak (KJ/m/s)	
21/01/2013 02:00	21/01/2013 20:00	18	21/01/2013 13:00	68	35	22	5.1	11.1	7.8	65	6.4 E+3	4.5 E+6
06/02/2013 13:30	07/02/2013 02:00	12.5	06/02/2013 17:00	14	15	77	4.3	11.1	7.4	17	4.5 E+3	1.7 E+6

22/03/2013 20:00	24/03/2013 23:00	51	23/03/2013 15:30	74	99	16	5.1	11.8	7.7	65	7.1 E+3	1.4 E+7
23/05/2013 21:30	24/05/2013 10:30	13	24/05/2013 00:30	19	27	71	5.7	11.8	8.5	18	9.0 E+3	4.9 E+6
10/09/2013 13:00	10/09/2013 22:30	9.5	10/09/2013 19:30	13	19	77	5.0	10.0	7.3	13	4.9 E+3	2.3 E+6
10/10/2013 02:00	11/10/2013 06:30	28.5	10/10/2013 23:00	28	56	72	5.8	12.5	8.0	21	1.1 E+4	1.1 E+7
Data missing for 5th / 6th December 2013 storm as buoy was off station from 21st November 2013 until 17th December 2013												
14/10/2014 03:00	14/10/2014 06:00	3	14/10/2014 04:30	61	4	33	4.4	9.1	6.7	61	3.2 E+3	3.2 E+5
31/01/2015 14:30	01/02/2015 18:30	28.0	31/01/2015 23:30	20	57	77	4.8	13.3	7.5	25	8.0 E+3	8.2 E+6
21/11/2015 04:30	21/11/2015 16:30	12.0	21/11/2015 09:30	11	22	80	6.2	11.8	8.0	11	1.1 E+4	4.2 E+6
03/01/2016 06:00	06/01/2016 13:00	79.0	06/01/2016 03:00	68	26	26	5.0	10.5	7.8	65	5.4 E+3	2.9 E+6
14/01/2016 14:00	16/01/2016 06:00	40.0	16/01/2016 05:00	100	15	81	4.2	11.8	7.5	13	4.9 E+3	1.5 E+6
13/01/2017 10:30	14/01/2017 04:00	17.5	13/01/2017 14:00	152	34	99	9.0	25.0	11.1	290	1.0 E+5	1.2 E+7
12/02/2017 02:00	12/02/2017 20:30	18.5	12/02/2017 05:30	63	31	28	4.9	10.0	7.4	55	4.7 E+3	3.1 E+6
23/02/2017 18:00	23/02/2017 21:00	3.0	23/02/2017 19:30	136	5	86	4.5	10.0	7.3	4	4.0 E+3	4.3 E+5
12/11/2017 15:00	12/11/2017 22:30	7.5	12/11/2017 19:00	192	6	90	4.1	10.0	6.8	359	3.3 E+3	4.6 E+5
08/12/2017 14:00	09/12/2017 12:00	22.0	08/12/2017 20:00	14	29	76	4.6	14.3	8.0	13	8.6 E+3	4.4 E+6
01/02/2018 17:00	02/02/2018 04:00	11.0	02/02/2018 02:00	81	8	87	4.3	11.1	7.0	6	4.6 E+3	9.4 E+5
28/02/2018 08:30	02/03/2018 23:00	62.5	01/03/2018 19:30	76	113	14	6.3	11.1	8.0	84	9.6 E+3	1.9 E+7
16/03/2018 05:00	18/03/2018 22:00	65.0	17/03/2018 21:00	69	126	22	5.8	11.8	7.8	68	9.3 E+3	2.0 E+7

2.8. Scarborough Tide Gauge

The Scarborough tide gauge was deployed by Emu on behalf of SBC in April 2003 as part of a local monitoring initiative prior to the start of the regional programme. The data runs from 28/04/2003 to 31/03/2018, with a number of gaps in the record. A detailed plot showing the data available for 2017 is shown in Figure 2.19.




Figure 2.19 Water Levels at Scarborough TG Recorded Tide Site for 2017-18

The Scarborough tide gauge data has been analysed and quality controlled by Fugro-EMU and Channel Coast Observatory. The CCO report is included in Appendix E and standard tidal heights are presented in Table 2-13 below.

It should be noted that when the site was checked and re-surveyed by Fugro-EMU in June 2013, a discrepancy was found compared to the original datum established in 2003, with the tide gauge zero now 0.195m higher than previously assumed. It is not known when the offset applies from, but Fugro-EMU noted in 2013 that *“this offset brings the data back in line with predictions created through the harmonic analysis of the data from 2003 and also predictions created from the Admiralty harmonic constants for Scarborough.”*

Table 2-13 Standard tidal levels at Scarborough

Tidal levels		
Observation period	January 2013 – October 2014	
Tide Level	Elevation (OD)	Elevation (CD)
HAT	3.34	6.59
MHWS	2.52	5.77
MHWN	1.38	4.63
MLWN	-0.86	2.39
MLWS	-2.00	1.25
LAT	-3.02	0.23

Notes: Source – CCO report for 2017 data, see Appendix E
See also note above re-potential issues with datum for 2006 to 2011 data.

Annual maxima water levels extracted from the Scarborough tide gauge are shown in Table 2-14 below. The highest recorded water level was 4.39 mOD on 5th December 2013 at 17:20, and had an associated surge of 1.66m. This is significantly higher than any of the previous 10 years or subsequent four years, the maximum of which was 3.66m in January 2005.

Table 2-14 Annual maxima data from Scarborough Tide gauge (source CCO, 2017)¹

Year	Annual extreme maxima		Annual surge maxima		Z ₀ (OD)	Annual recovery rate
	Elevation (OD) (Surge)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time		
2003	3.05 (-0.03)	28-Sep-2003 05:10	1.13	21-Dec-2003 09:40	-	76%
2004	3.09 (0.34)	22-Feb-2004 17:10	0.96	18-Nov-2004 04:00	0.292	99%
2005	3.66 (0.86)	12-Jan-2005 17:20	1.18	20-Jan-2005 08:20	0.287	99%
2006*	3.30 (0.17)	30-Mar-2006 16:30	1.29	31-Oct-2006 15:40	-	77%
2007*	3.40 (0.71)	25-Nov-2007 04:00	1.60	08-Nov-2007 21:30	0.221	97%
2008*	3.05 (0.16)	09-Mar-2008 17:20	0.90	22-Feb-2008 02:10	-	65%
2009*	3.19 (0.44)	12-Jan-2009 16:50	1.15	18-Jan-2009 16:30	-	84%
2010*	3.21 (0.05)	11-Sep-2010 05:30	0.81	12-Nov-2010 04:20	-	82%
2011*	3.03 (-0.14)	21-Mar-2011 17:10	1.33	04-Feb-2011 11:00	-	80%
2012	2.94 (0.06)	17-Oct-2012 04:40	0.92	05-Jan-2012 16:40	-	70%
2013	4.39 (1.66)	05-Dec-2013 17:20	1.75	05-Dec-2013 15:50	0.186	98%
2014	3.40 (0.51)	04-Jan-2014 18:00	1.16	21-Oct-2014 20:20	-	88%
2015	3.29 (0.29)	21-Feb-2015 17:40	1.23	10-Jan-2015 17:30	-	98%
2016	3.13 (0.17)	17-Sep-2016 04:00	1.54	26-Dec-2016 21:20	-	100%
2017	3.43 (0.79)	13-Jan-2017 16:20	1.22	13-Jan-2017 10:50		100%

* Possible datum shift by up to -0.195m

¹ CCO February 2017, Scarborough tide gauge annual report, see Appendix E

3. Problems encountered and uncertainty in analysis

3.1 Wave data

As noted in the report, the Scarborough Waverider buoy was moved to a new location after the initial deployment. Although the locations used are the same as two previous wave buoys the different water depths and coastal sheltering means that the new data from the two sites are not directly comparable.

The Tyne Tees wave data is available in both telemetry and post recovery format from the Cefas WaveNet site. At the time of writing post-recovery data were available up to January 2018. For the two months of February and March 2018 telemetry data was used. The data set analysed is therefore a combination of telemetry and post recovery data, to give greatest coverage.

4.2 Water level data

The quality controlled data from BODC for the Whitby NTSLF tide gauge shows that much of the data for 2015-16 has been flagged as suspect due to issues with the gauge including siltation, the recording of flat low waters and an unexplained high rate of mean sea level rise. There is also large gap in the data from 2nd April to 15th June 2017. After this date, there are no significant gaps in the data until the end of March 2018. The issue with the datum difference compared to the Cell 1 programme Whitby Harbour tide gauge noted in last years' report remains under investigation.

As noted in the baseline report there is uncertainty over datum changes for the Scarborough tide gauge between the original deployment in 2003 and the site checks in 2013, with a discrepancy of 0.195m. The data has been quality checked by CCO since the baseline report, but this uncertainty still remains. The data for November 2014 is missing from the record. During 2015 there was a gap in the data from the Scarborough tide gauge of 29th June to 3rd July 2015. There are no significant gaps in the data for 2016 and early 2018.

The consideration of variability and trends in the longer term data sets highlighted problems with the Whitby NTSLF tide gauge and a datum issue compared to the Cell 1 Whitby gauge. In addition to gaps in the data there is missing data on the extreme low waters, see for example Figure 2.11.

It is recommended that the datum level surveys are checked for both of the Whitby tide gauges to establish which is correct and if possible the data should be corrected.

4. Summary of key findings and recommendations

This report has analysed new wave and water level data available relevant to coastal Cell 1 for 2017-2018 as an update to the previous baseline reports. Future reports in this series should compare the data recorded in subsequent years with the results presented here. The key points are summarised below:

- Offshore wave directions incident on the Cell 1 coast are predominantly between 0 and 30 degrees (north to northeast), with a secondary wave approach direction from the east to southeast also observed although some parts of the coast are more sheltered from fetches to the southeast.
- The Newbiggin Ness wave buoy site is partially sheltered from waves from the north. The data from 2017-18 is consistent with the data in 2013-16, but the wave rose is notably different to the baseline data from 2010-11 collected by Cefas, which did not show the secondary wave direction from the southeast.
- The longest consistent wave record in the region is for the Wavenet Tyne Tees buoy, which has been operating since late 2006.
- The Met Office offshore wave hindcast modelled data for 1980 to 2012 was shown to under-predict wave heights during storm events by up to 0.5m and so should be treated with caution if used for boundary conditions in modelling studies.
- Analysis of the 2006 to 2018 data from Tyne Tees indicates that the stormiest year was 2010 whilst the year with the least number of storms is 2011. Due to the limited period of data available from Newbiggin, Whitby and Scarborough it is not yet possible to make reliable comparisons to Tyne Tees.
- The largest wave height recorded to date at Newbiggin was on the 1st March 2018 (6.4m), on the 22nd March 2008 (7.9m) at Tyne-Tees, on the 21st November 2015 (6.7m) at Whitby, and on the 13th January 2017 (9.0m) at Scarborough.
- The data sets have been reviewed to assess medium to long term changes and it has been demonstrated that the even the longest wave data set from Tyne Tees is of insufficient length to capture the annual storm variability demonstrated in the longer period of data available from the Met Office hindcast wave model.
- Analysis of the Cell 1 tide gauge located in Whitby Harbour has previously found that there is a datum issue with either or both Whitby tide gauges. The data from the national gauge is considered unreliable by NOC from April 2015 onwards. It is recommended that this is investigated further and new datum level surveys are undertaken for both gauges.

Wave roses for full datasets available for Newbiggin Ness, Whitby, and Scarborough are collated in Figure 4.1 to supplement the points made above. Wave height data over the period April 2017 to March 2018 for the four Cell 1 wave buoys are also shown in Figure 4.2 to illustrate the data availability.




Figure 4.1 Wave Rose Locations from Newbiggin Ness to Scarborough







Figure 4.2 Wave height data for 2017-18 in Cell 1

5. Conclusions

This report has documented the annual review and analysis of wave and tide data across Cell 1, presenting analysis of the data sets collected at the three wave buoys that were deployed under the strategic monitoring programme at Newbiggin Ness, Whitby and Scarborough, alongside data from the Cefas buoy located at Tyne Tees that is operated as part of the national programme. Tide gauge data collected under the programme has also been analysed and compared to the data from the tide gauges at Whitby and North Shields that are operated as part of the national monitoring programme.

Wave conditions during the 2017/18 period were amongst the stormiest on record, with the March 2018 'Beast from the East' storm having the highest record total wave energy at all sites except Tyne-Tees.

Appendices

Appendix A

Detailed Location of Wave Buoys




Figure A1 Location of Newbiggin Ness wave buoy




Figure A2 Location of Tyne Tees wave buoy, Met Office hindcast point 2084 and North Shields tide gauge




Figure A3 Location of Whitby wave buoy and tide gauges






Figure A4 Locations of Scarborough wave buoys and tide gauge

Appendix B
Supporting Graphs: Newbiggin Wave Buoy


Newbiggin - April 2017




Newbiggin - May 2017




Newbiggin - June 2017




Newbiggin - July 2017









Newbiggin - August 2017




Newbiggin - September 2017




Newbiggin - October 2017




Newbiggin - November 2017




Newbiggin - December 2017




Newbiggin - January 2018



Newbiggin - February 2018




Newbiggin - March 2018




Appendix C
Supporting Graphs: Whitby Wave Buoy

Whitby - April 2017




Whitby - May 2017




Whitby - June 2017


Significant wave height




Maximum wave height




Mean wave period (T_z)




Peak wave period (T_p)




Wave direction




Sea temperature




Whitby - July 2017




Whitby - August 2017




Whitby - September 2017




Whitby - October 2017




Whitby - November 2017




Whitby - December 2017




Whitby - January 2018



Whitby - February 2018




Whitby - March 2018




Appendix D
Supporting Graphs: Scarborough Wave Buoy


Scarborough - April 2017




Scarborough - May 2017




Scarborough - June 2017




Scarborough - July 2017




Scarborough - August 2017









Scarborough - September 2017




Scarborough - October 2017




Scarborough - November 2017




Scarborough - December 2017




Scarborough - January 2018



Scarborough - February 2018



Scarborough - March 2018



Appendix E

**Annual reports for:
Scarborough and Whitby tide gauges, and
Newbiggin, Whitby, and Scarborough wave buoys**

Scarborough Tide Gauge

Location

OS: 504898E 488622N

WGS84: *Latitude:* 54° 16' 56.990"N *Longitude:* 00° 23' 25.0279"W

Instrument

Valeport 740 (Druck Pressure Transducer)

Benchmarks

Benchmark

Description

TGBM = 4.18m above Ordnance Datum Newlyn Port BM on western slipway of inner harbour
504750.75E 488754.385N

TGZ = -2.52m above Ordnance Datum Newlyn

TGZ = 0.73m above Chart Datum

TGZ = 6.70m below TGBM



Datum

All data are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn. The height of Chart Datum relative to Ordnance Datum at Scarborough is -3.25m (Admiralty Tide Tables, Supplementary Table III).

Survey information

The site was surveyed on 13 June 2013, where the tide gauge offset was found to be 0.195m higher than on the previous survey in 2003. The datum appeared to have changed during the period 2006-2011.

Site characteristics

The pressure transducer is mounted in a stilling well in Scarborough harbour.

Data quality

Recovery rate (%)	Sample interval
100	10 minutes

Service history

The gauge was first deployed on 28 April 2003 and maintained until December 2005. Measurements continued, and full maintenance was resumed in 2011.

Measurements

The pressure transducer samples at 4 Hz. Tidal elevations are derived, every 10 minutes, as the 40 second average of the 4 Hz readings. The time stamp is the start of the measuring burst. Although the time stamp is accurate, the instrument has to be started manually after servicing and it is not always possible to start exactly on a 10 minute integer. Measurements are interpolated to the hour and 10 minute intervals, if the original time series is not on the hour. Missing data exceeding 2 hours are not interpolated. All data measured prior to the gauge being fully surveyed were adjusted to the correct elevations, but it has proven difficult to establish where the datum changed occurred between 2006 and 2011. The highest values during these years are included in the Amax tables, since the date/times are valid, but the elevations should be used with caution.

Residuals and Elevations (OD and CD) for the whole year are shown in Figures 1 to 3 respectively.

Statistics

All times GMT

Month	Extreme maxima		Extreme minima	
	Elevation (OD)	Date/Time	Elevation (OD)	Date/Time
January	3.43	13-Jan-2017 16:20	-2.57	15-Jan-2017 00:20
February	3.24	28-Feb-2017 17:20	-2.50	13-Feb-2017 00:00
March	3.07	30-Mar-2017 17:40	-2.53	29-Mar-2017 23:50
April	2.99	27-Apr-2017 16:40	-2.46	26-Apr-2017 22:40
May	2.91	27-May-2017 17:10	-2.39	26-May-2017 22:50
June	3.05	25-Jun-2017 04:30	-2.33	27-Jun-2017 13:00
July	2.88	24-Jul-2017 04:10	-2.42	25-Jul-2017 11:40
August	2.88	24-Aug-2017 05:20	-2.38	22-Aug-2017 10:50
September	3.07	09-Sep-2017 05:40	-2.40	21-Sep-2017 10:50
October	3.18	06-Oct-2017 04:00	-2.35	08-Oct-2017 11:50
November	3.17	05-Nov-2017 04:10	-2.51	07-Nov-2017 00:00
December	3.28	07-Dec-2017 18:50	-2.40	07-Dec-2017 00:30

Month	Surge maxima		Surge minima	
	Value (m)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time
January	1.22	13-Jan-2017 10:50	-0.33	31-Jan-2017 09:00
February	0.84	22-Feb-2017 09:30	-0.47	06-Feb-2017 23:00
March	0.54	14-Mar-2017 15:00	-0.23	24-Mar-2017 11:50
April	0.47	04-Apr-2017 06:30	-0.23	19-Apr-2017 15:40
May	0.36	17-May-2017 04:00	-0.15	20-May-2017 20:50
June	0.46	29-Jun-2017 01:00	-0.17	20-Jun-2017 23:00
July	0.35	27-Jul-2017 19:00	-0.18	15-Jul-2017 18:30
August	0.35	17-Aug-2017 14:10	-0.17	14-Aug-2017 18:50
September	0.42	13-Sep-2017 14:50	-0.22	27-Sep-2017 12:40
October	1.03	29-Oct-2017 03:00	-0.57	17-Oct-2017 04:10
November	0.63	16-Nov-2017 20:30	-0.44	13-Nov-2017 20:10
December	1.21	08-Dec-2017 01:00	-0.40	17-Dec-2017 14:10

Month	Mean Level	
	No. of days	Elevation (OD)
January	31	0.332
February	28	0.302
March	31	0.280
April	30	0.280
May	31	0.306
June	30	0.360
July	31	0.343
August	31	0.355
September	30	0.383
October	31	0.450
November	30	0.471
December	31	0.421

Highest values in 2017			
Extreme		Surge	
Elevation (OD) (Surge component)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time
3.43 (0.79)	13-Jan-2017 16:20	1.22	13-Jan-2017 10:50
3.28 (0.67)	07-Dec-2017 18:50	1.21	08-Dec-2017 01:00
3.24 (0.55)	28-Feb-2017 17:20	1.04	13-Jan-2017 13:20
3.23 (0.66)	27-Feb-2017 16:40	1.03	29-Oct-2017 03:00
3.18 (0.41)	06-Oct-2017 04:00	0.98	04-Jan-2017 01:50
3.17 (0.22)	05-Nov-2017 04:10	0.86	08-Dec-2017 04:10
3.13 (0.73)	11-Jan-2017 15:30	0.84	22-Feb-2017 09:30
3.11 (0.62)	12-Jan-2017 03:40	0.84	11-Jan-2017 21:00
3.07 (0.22)	05-Nov-2017 16:40	0.83	04-Jan-2017 04:40
3.07 (0.14)	08-Oct-2017 05:10	0.80	22-Feb-2017 08:40

Year	Annual extreme maxima		Annual surge maxima		Z ₀ (OD)	Annual recovery rate
	Elevation (OD) (Surge)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time		
2003	3.05 (-0.03)	28-Sep-2003 05:10	1.13	21-Dec-2003 09:40	-	76%
2004	3.09 (0.34)	22-Feb-2004 17:10	0.96	18-Nov-2004 04:00	0.292	99%
2005	3.66 (0.86)	12-Jan-2005 17:20	1.18	20-Jan-2005 08:20	0.287	99%
2006*	3.30 (0.17)	30-Mar-2006 16:30	1.29	31-Oct-2006 15:40	-	77%
2007*	3.40 (0.71)	25-Nov-2007 04:00	1.60	08-Nov-2007 21:30	0.221	97%
2008*	3.05 (0.16)	09-Mar-2008 17:20	0.90	22-Feb-2008 02:10	-	65%
2009*	3.19 (0.44)	12-Jan-2009 16:50	1.15	18-Jan-2009 16:30	-	84%
2010*	3.21 (0.05)	11-Sep-2010 05:30	0.81	12-Nov-2010 04:20	-	82%
2011*	3.03 (-0.14)	21-Mar-2011 17:10	1.33	04-Feb-2011 11:00	-	80%
2012	2.94 (0.06)	17-Oct-2012 04:40	0.92	05-Jan-2012 16:40	-	70%
2013	4.39 (1.66)	05-Dec-2013 17:20	1.75	05-Dec-2013 15:50	0.186	98%
2014	3.40 (0.51)	04-Jan-2014 18:00	1.16	21-Oct-2014 20:20	-	88%
2015	3.29 (0.29)	21-Feb-2015 17:40	1.23	10-Jan-2015 17:30	-	98%
2016	3.13 (0.17)	17-Sep-2016 04:00	1.54	26-Dec-2016 21:20	-	100%
2017	3.43 (0.79)	13-Jan-2017 16:20	1.22	13-Jan-2017 10:50		100%

* Possible datum shift by up to -0.195m

Tidal levels		
Observation period	January 2013 – October 2014	
Tide Level	Elevation (OD)	Elevation (CD)
HAT	3.34	6.59
MHWS	2.52	5.77
MHWN	1.38	4.63
MLWN	-0.86	2.39
MLWS	-2.00	1.25
LAT	-3.02	0.23

General

The time series of 10 minute tidal elevations for one year is quality-checked in accordance with ESEAS guidelines, flagged and archived. The archived time series is continuous and monotonic, with missing data given as 9999. The missing data shown are days where the entire 24 hours of data are missing.

Monthly **extreme maxima/minima** are the maximum and minimum water levels from all measured data for that month. Monthly **surge maxima/minima** (residuals) are calculated in a similar manner from the time series of residuals. Residuals are derived as the measured tidal elevation minus the predicted tidal elevation.

The monthly Mean Level is calculated as the average of all readings for the given month. The annual Z_0 is the value of Mean Sea Level derived by the harmonic analysis of the year's data. These values should not be used for any purpose without consideration of the recovery rate.

Acknowledgements

Tidal predictions and tide levels were produced by Fugro GB Marine Limited.




Figure 1: Scarborough residuals for 2017




Figure 2: Scarborough tidal elevations for 2017 relative to Ordnance Datum




Figure 3: Scarborough tidal elevations for 2017 relative to Chart Datum

Whitby Harbour Tide Gauge

Location

OS: 489842E 511247N

WGS84: *Latitude:* 54° 29' 19.0731"N *Longitude:* 00° 36' 52.6886"W

Instrument

Valeport Tidemaster (Drück Pressure Transducer). The tide gauge transducer is fixed to a weighted stainless steel strop located in a stilling well.

Benchmarks

Benchmark

Description

TGBM = 4.453 m above Ordnance Datum Newlyn

SW Bolt on mooring bollard adjacent to tide gauge, 50 mm above ground on fish quay outside Watch Keeper's Office (54° 29' 19.210"N, 000° 36' 52.620"W)

TGZ = 3.403 m below Ordnance Datum Newlyn

TGZ = 0.403 m below Chart Datum

TGZ = 7.856 m below TGBM

Datum

All data are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn. The height of Chart Datum relative to Ordnance Datum at Whitby is -3.00 m (Admiralty Tide Tables, Supplementary Table III).

Survey information

The site was surveyed on 05 September 2013.

Site characteristics

The tide gauge is located beneath the Fish Quay on the western side of the River Esk, 600 m from the Whitby Harbour entrance.

Data Quality

Recovery rate (%)	Sample interval
99	10 minutes

Service history

The gauge was first deployed on 8 May 2013 and is serviced at 6-monthly intervals.

Measurements

The pressure transducer samples at 8 Hz. Tidal elevations are derived every 1 minute, as the average of the 8 Hz readings over a 30 second burst. The time stamp is the start of the measuring burst. Data readings on the hour and at 10 minute intervals are transmitted.

Residuals and Elevations (OD and CD) for the whole year are shown in Figures 1 to 3 respectively.

Statistics

All times GMT

Month	Extreme maxima		Extreme minima	
	Elevation (OD)	Date/Time	Elevation (OD)	Date/Time
January	3.48	13-Jan-2017 16:20	-2.48	15-Jan-2017 00:00
February	3.12	27-Feb-2017 16:40	-2.59	12-Feb-2017 23:40
March	2.94	30-Mar-2017 17:40	-2.67	29-Mar-2017 23:20
April	2.86	27-Apr-2017 16:30	-2.59	26-Apr-2017 22:10
May	2.81	27-May-2017 16:50	-2.45	26-May-2017 22:40
June	2.92	25-Jun-2017 04:20	-2.39	27-Jun-2017 12:30
July	2.74	24-Jul-2017 04:20	-2.52	25-Jul-2017 11:20
August	2.76	24-Aug-2017 05:20	-2.50	23-Aug-2017 11:00
September	2.97	09-Sep-2017 05:30	-2.37	21-Sep-2017 10:30
October	3.08	06-Oct-2017 03:40	-2.35	08-Oct-2017 11:20
November	3.07	05-Nov-2017 04:00	-2.50	06-Nov-2017 23:40
December	3.16	07-Dec-2017 19:10	-2.33	05-Dec-2017 23:20

Month	Surge maxima		Surge minima	
	Value (m)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time
January	1.24	13-Jan-2017 10:50	-0.45	05-Jan-2017 22:50
February	0.69	22-Feb-2017 19:50	-0.54	07-Feb-2017 01:10
March	0.41	15-Mar-2017 01:10	-0.34	24-Mar-2017 08:50
April	0.40	25-Apr-2017 21:30	-0.28	19-Apr-2017 04:50
May	0.29	11-May-2017 01:00	-0.23	20-May-2017 21:50
June	0.44	29-Jun-2017 01:20	-0.21	01-Jun-2017 04:20
July	0.29	27-Jul-2017 14:10	-0.23	15-Jul-2017 18:30
August	0.29	22-Aug-2017 22:10	-0.24	14-Aug-2017 16:50
September	0.35	11-Sep-2017 10:10	-0.27	27-Sep-2017 12:00
October	0.98	29-Oct-2017 03:10	-0.64	17-Oct-2017 03:50
November	0.57	18-Nov-2017 09:40	-0.48	13-Nov-2017 19:50
December	1.09	08-Dec-2017 00:50	-0.46	17-Dec-2017 14:30

Month	Mean Level	
	No. of days	Elevation (OD)
January	31	0.335
February	28	0.293
March	31	0.266
April	30	0.262
May	31	0.285
June	30	0.337
July	31	0.321
August	31	0.334
September	30	0.365
October	31	0.441
November	30	0.465
December	31	0.419

Highest values in 2017			
Extreme		Surge	
Elevation (OD) (Surge component)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time
3.48 (0.78)	13-Jan-2017 16:20	1.24	13-Jan-2017 10:50
3.16 (0.66)	07-Dec-2017 19:10	1.09	13-Jan-2017 14:00
3.12 (0.52)	27-Feb-2017 16:40	1.09	08-Dec-2017 00:50
3.10 (0.39)	28-Feb-2017 17:10	0.98	29-Oct-2017 03:10
3.08 (0.39)	06-Oct-2017 03:40	0.84	04-Jan-2017 02:20
3.07 (0.20)	05-Nov-2017 04:00	0.78	08-Dec-2017 03:30
3.05 (0.60)	11-Jan-2017 15:00	0.72	29-Oct-2017 06:10
3.00 (0.48)	12-Jan-2017 03:20	0.69	22-Feb-2017 19:50
2.97 (0.19)	05-Nov-2017 16:20	0.69	25-Feb-2017 22:00
2.97 (0.26)	09-Sep-2017 05:30	0.68	04-Jan-2017 04:10

Year	Annual extreme maxima		Annual surge maxima		Z ₀ (OD)	Annual recovery rate
	Elevation (OD) (Surge)	Date/Time	Value (m)	Date/Time		
2014	3.15 (0.31)	13-Aug-2014 05:20	1.06	21-Oct-2014 20:20	-	95%
2015	3.18 (0.31)	21-Feb-2015 17:40	1.18	13-Nov-2015 12:10	-	98%
2016	3.15 (0.13)	19-Sep-2016 05:10	1.34	26-Dec-2016 21:10	-	99%
2017	3.48 (0.78)	13-Jan-2017 16:20	1.24	13-Jan-2017 10:50	-	99%

Tidal levels		
Observation period	January 2014 – July 2015	
Tide Level	Elevation (OD)	Elevation (CD)
HAT	3.14	6.14
MHWS	2.52	5.52
MHWN	1.41	4.41
MLWN	-0.79	2.21
MLWS	-1.91	1.09
LAT	-2.91	0.09

General

The time series of 10 minute tidal elevations for one year is quality-checked in accordance with ESEAS guidelines, flagged and archived. The archived time series is continuous and monotonic, with missing data given as 9999. The missing data shown are days where the entire 24 hours of data are missing.

Monthly [extreme maxima/minima](#) are the maximum and minimum water levels from all measured data for that month. Monthly [surge maxima/minima](#) (residuals) are calculated in a similar manner from the time series of residuals. Residuals are derived as the measured tidal elevation minus the predicted tidal elevation.

The monthly Mean Level is calculated as the average of all readings for the given month. The annual Z₀ is the value of Mean Sea Level derived by the harmonic analysis of the year's data. These values should not be used for any purpose without consideration of the recovery rate.

Acknowledgements

Tidal predictions and tide levels were produced by Fugro Marine GB Limited.




Figure 1: Whitby Harbour residuals for 2017




Figure 2: Whitby Harbour tidal elevations for 2017 relative to Ordnance Datum






Figure 3: Whitby Harbour tidal elevations for 2017 relative to Chart Datum

Newbiggin Directional Waverider Buoy

Location			
OS	433325 E 587994 N		
WGS84	Latitude: 55° 11.11' N Longitude: 01° 28.69' W		
Instrument type			
Datawell Directional Waverider Mk III			
Water depth	~18m CD	Buoy in situ off Newbiggin-by-the-Sea. Photo courtesy of Fugro GB Marine Limited	Location of buoy (Google mapping, image ©2016 Getmapping plc)

Data Quality

Recovery rate (%)	Sample interval
100	30 minutes

Monthly Averages - 2017

All times are GMT

Month	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	SST (°C)	Bimodal seas (%)	No. of days
January	1.06	9.6	5.1	72	7.3	4	31
February	1.44	8.1	4.9	84	6.9	4	28
March	0.86	9.3	5.0	69	7.0	11	31
April	0.84	7.9	4.8	66	7.9	3	30
May	0.92	6.6	4.4	77	9.6	1	31
June	0.68	6.7	4.2	86	11.2	4	30
July	0.65	5.8	4.1	86	12.7	0	31
August	0.57	6.7	4.1	81	13.1	2	31
September	0.81	6.4	4.2	78	12.9	1	30
October	0.86	8.0	4.6	80	12.1	2	31
November	1.11	9.7	5.5	58	9.9	7	30
December	1.09	10.2	5.4	59	7.5	8	31

Storm Analysis

Date/Time	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	Water level elevation* (OD)	Tidal stage (hours re. HW)	Tidal range (m)	Tidal surge* (m)	Max. surge* (m)
13-Jan-2017 16:30	4.45	12.5	8.9	44	3.02	HW +1	5.14	0.38	0.45
12-Feb-2017 16:30	4.20	10.0	6.9	84	2.55	HW	4.95	0.00	0.00
04-Jan-2017 15:00	3.66	11.8	7.5	44	-0.38	HW +2	3.00	0.07	0.19
08-Feb-2017 01:00	3.49	10.0	7.1	73	1.69	HW	3.26	0.00	0.00
30-Nov-2017 19:30	3.42	10.5	6.6	39	~-0.80	HW -6	3.00	~0.10	~0.10

* Tidal information is obtained from the National Network gauge at North Shields and/or estimated from the predicted tide levels (Admiralty Total Tide). The surge shown is the residual at the time of the highest H_s. The maximum tidal surge is the largest surge during the storm event.

Annual Statistics

Year	Annual H _s exceedance** (m)						Annual Maximum H _s	
	0.05%	0.5%	1%	2%	5%	10%	Date	A _{max} (m)
2013	-	3.26	3.04	2.71	2.27	1.88	10-Oct-2013 18:30	4.15
2014	3.76	3.27	3.01	2.73	2.24	1.86	19-Jan-2014 20:00	4.22
2015	3.90	2.90	2.66	2.37	1.97	1.61	21-Nov-2015 04:30	4.74
2016	5.04	4.47	3.97	3.08	2.22	1.82	03-Jan-2016 10:30	5.46
2017	4.00	3.40	3.07	2.80	2.35	1.87	13-Jan-2017 16:30	4.45

** i.e. 5 % of the H_s values measured in 2013 exceeded 2.27 m

Distribution plots

The distribution of wave parameters are shown in the accompanying graphs/tables of:


- Annual time series of H_s (red line is 3.63 m storm alert threshold)
- Incidence of storm waves for 2017. Storm events are defined using the Peaks-over-Threshold method. The highest H_s of each storm event is shown
- Wave height exceedance each year since deployment
- Percentage of occurrence of H_s, T_p, T_z and Direction for 2017
- Wave rose (percentage of occurrence of Direction vs. H_s) for all measured data
- Joint distribution of all parameters for all measured data, given as percentage of occurrence




General

The buoy, owned by Scarborough Borough Council, was deployed on 21 June 2013, at which time the magnetic declination at the site was 2.2° west, changing by 0.18° east per year. A DWR had previously been deployed at this location from 20 May 2010 to 04 February 2011.






Acknowledgements

Tidal data at North Shields were provided by the British Oceanographic Data Centre from the UK national tide gauge network, owned and operated by the Environment Agency.








Newbiggin 2017



Newbiggin 2013 to 2017 - Joint distribution (% of occurrence)



Whitby Directional Waverider Buoy

Location			
OS	490311 E 513027 N		
WGS84	Latitude: 54° 30.27' N Longitude: 00° 36.41' W		
Instrument type			
Datawell Directional Waverider Mk III			
Water depth	~17m CD	Buoy in situ off Whitby beach. Photo courtesy of Fugro GB Marine Limited	Location of buoy (Google mapping, image ©2016 The GeoInformation Group)

Data Quality

Recovery rate (%)	Sample interval
99	30 minutes

Monthly Averages - 2017

All times are GMT

Month	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	SST (°C)	Bimodal seas (%)	No. of days
January	1.21	10.5	5.5	55	7.2	8	31
February	1.33	8.3	5.1	83	6.9	3	28
March	0.91	10.2	5.5	52	7.4	9	31
April	1.11	7.9	4.9	87	8.6	5	30
May	0.89	6.9	4.5	68	9.8	0	31
June	0.71	7.1	4.4	96	11.8	2	30
July	0.66	6.0	4.1	105	13.5	0	31
August	0.61	7.2	4.5	91	13.8	1	31
September	0.81	6.6	4.4	106	13.2	1	30
October	1.05	9.0	5.0	93	12.4	3	31
November	1.60	10.2	5.8	69	10.0	12	29
December	1.47	10.5	5.6	50	7.3	11	30

Storm Analysis

Date/Time	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	Water level elevation* (OD)	Tidal stage (hours re. HW)	Tidal range (m)	Tidal surge* (m)	Max. surge* (m)
13-Jan-2017 14:30	7.26	11.8	9.3	23	2.52	HW -2	4.30	0.92	1.24
08-Dec-2017 20:30	5.33	11.1	8.7	11	2.51	HW +1	4.05	0.29	0.62
04-Jan-2017 18:30	5.04	11.1	7.7	17	1.74	HW -1	3.06	0.00	0.42
30-Nov-2017 15:30	4.68	10.0	7.1	18	1.24	HW +3	2.94	0.19	0.37
12-Feb-2017 05:00	4.63	10.5	7.3	48	0.14	HW -4	4.67	0.00	0.00

* Tidal information is obtained from the pressure transducer at Whitby Harbour. The surge shown is the residual at the time of the highest H_s. The maximum tidal surge is the largest surge during the storm event.

Annual Statistics

Year	Annual H_s exceedance** (m)						Annual Maximum H_s	
	0.05%	0.5%	1%	2%	5%	10%	Date	A_{max} (m)
2013	-	4.76	4.43	3.93	2.98	2.19	10-Oct-2013 20:00	6.26
2014	3.74	3.16	2.81	2.53	2.12	1.75	14-Oct-2014 05:30	4.10
2015	5.60	4.06	3.45	2.97	2.21	1.75	21-Nov-2015 07:30	6.68 ⁺
2016	4.71	4.02	3.54	3.06	2.45	2.06	21-Nov-2016 21:00	5.09
2017	5.22	4.35	3.98	3.58	2.82	2.14	13-Jan-2017 14:30	7.26 ⁺

** i.e. 5 % of the H_s values measured in 2013 exceeded 2.98 m

⁺ Note that waves were breaking at the buoy for several hours during this storm; where breaking waves were clearly present in the measured time series, the parameters have been omitted. Accordingly, there may have been short periods where measured significant wave heights exceeded this value.

Distribution plots

The distribution of wave parameters are shown in the accompanying graphs/tables of:


- Annual time series of H_s (red line is 4.26 m storm alert threshold)
- Incidence of storm waves for 2017. Storm events are defined using the Peaks-over-Threshold method. The highest H_s of each storm event is shown
- Wave height exceedance each year since deployment
- Percentage of occurrence of H_s , T_p , T_z and Direction for 2017
- Wave rose (percentage of occurrence of direction vs. H_s) for all measured data
- Joint distribution of all parameters for all measured data, given as percentage of occurrence




General

The buoy, owned by Scarborough Borough Council, was deployed on 18 January 2013, at which time the magnetic declination at the site was 1.8° west, changing by 0.18° east per year. A DWR had previously been deployed at this location from 20 May 2010 to 04 February 2011.






Acknowledgements

Tidal predictions were supplied by Fugro GB Marine Limited.








Whitby 2017



Whitby 2013 to 2017 - Joint distribution (% of occurrence)



Scarborough Directional Waverider Buoy

Location			
OS	509598 E 489943 N		
WGS84	Latitude: 54° 17.60' N Longitude: 00° 19.06' W		
Instrument type			
Datawell Directional Waverider Mk III			
Water depth	~19m CD	Buoy in situ off Scarborough beach. Photo courtesy of Fugro GB Marine Limited	Location of buoy (Google mapping, image ©2016 Infoterra Ltd & Bluesky)

Data Quality

Recovery rate (%)	Sample interval
90	30 minutes

Monthly Averages - 2017

All times are GMT

Month	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	SST (°C)	Bimodal seas (%)	No. of days
January	1.33	10.1	5.1	87	7.4	7	31
February	1.41	7.9	4.7	95	6.6	5	28
March	0.94	8.6	4.9	102	7.1	6	15
April	1.55	8.8	5.4	58	8.6	7	10
May	0.88	6.7	4.3	77	9.6	1	31
June	0.67	7.2	4.2	106	11.5	2	30
July	0.64	5.8	4.0	110	13.1	0	31
August	0.62	7.0	4.1	123	13.7	1	30
September	0.84	6.3	4.0	121	13.2	0	30
October	1.08	8.2	4.6	140	12.6	3	31
November	1.55	9.7	5.4	115	10.7	11	30
December	1.43	10.0	5.2	92	7.7	12	31

Storm Analysis

Date/Time	H _s (m)	T _p (s)	T _z (s)	Dir. (°)	Water level elevation* (OD)	Tidal stage (hours re. HW)	Tidal range (m)	Tidal surge* (m)	Max. surge* (m)
13-Jan-2017 14:30	6.76	12.5	8.7	1	2.14	HW -2	1.22	0.90	1.22
04-Jan-2017 18:00	5.01	10.5	7.7	16	1.34	HW -2	3.24	0.12	0.37
12-Feb-2017 05:30	4.90	10.0	7.4	55	2.44	HW	4.49	0.07	0.26
08-Dec-2017 18:30	4.76	10.5	8.0	3	2.23	HW -2	3.82	0.17	0.51
23-Feb-2017 19:30	4.48	10.0	7.3	4	-0.54	HW +5	2.89	0.56	0.64

* Tidal information is obtained from the tide gauge at Scarborough. The surge shown is the residual at the time of the highest H_s. The maximum tidal surge is the largest surge during the storm event.

Annual Statistics

Year	Annual H _s exceedance** (m)						Annual Maximum H _s	
	0.05%	0.5%	1%	2%	5%	10%	Date	A _{max} (m)
2013	-	4.93	4.46	3.76	2.89	2.12	10-Oct-2013 20:30	6.03
2014	3.91	3.16	2.95	2.63	2.22	1.84	14-Oct-2014 04:30	4.45
2015	6.17	4.35	3.57	3.12	2.31	1.81	21-Nov-2015 07:00	6.70
2016	4.46	3.96	3.56	2.99	2.44	2.08	06-Jan-2016 03:00	4.98
2017	6.02	4.30	3.91	3.45	2.84	2.20	13-Jan-2017 14:30	6.76 ⁺

** i.e. 5 % of the H_s values measured in 2013 exceeded 2.89 m

⁺Note that waves were breaking at the buoy for several hours during this storm; where breaking waves were clearly present in the measured time series, the parameters have been omitted. Accordingly, there may have been short periods where measured significant wave heights exceeded this value.

Distribution plots

The distribution of wave parameters are shown in the accompanying graphs/tables of:


- Annual time series of H_s (red line is 4.24 m storm alert threshold)
- Incidence of storm waves for 2017. Storm events are defined using the Peaks-over-Threshold method. The highest H_s of each storm event is shown
- Wave height exceedance each year since deployment
- Percentage of occurrence of H_s, T_p, T_z and Direction for 2017
- Wave rose (percentage of occurrence of direction vs. H_s) for all measured data
- Joint distribution of all parameters for all measured data, given as percentage of occurrence




General

The buoy, owned by Scarborough Borough Council, was deployed on 18 January 2013, at which time the magnetic declination at the site was 1.66° west, changing by 0.18° east per year.






Acknowledgements

Tidal predictions were supplied by Fugro GB Marine Limited.





Scarborough 2017



Scarborough 2013 to 2017 - Joint distribution (% of occurrence)

